

# MATH 215A Notes

Jiantong Liu

January 19, 2023

## PRELIMINARIES

This document is the notes based on Dr. Chengxi Wang's teaching at UCLA's 215A in fall 2022. The recommended textbook is Atiyah-MacDonald's *Introduction to Commutative Algebra* and David Eisenbud's *Commutative Algebra: with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*.

## 1 RINGS AND IDEALS

The study of commutative algebra started from commutative rings. We start from here and review a list of concepts that were built upon that.

**Definition 1.1** ((Commutative) Ring). A ring  $A$  is a set with two binary operations, usually called addition and multiplication, such that

- $A$  is an Abelian group with respect to addition.
- The multiplication is associative and distributive over addition. (That is,  $A$  is a monoid with respect to multiplication.)

We only think of rings that are commutative, that is,  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

In this whole chapter, we think of rings to be commutative and with a multiplicative identity 1.

**Remark 1.2.** We say  $R$  is a trivial ring if and only if  $1 = 0$ , if and only if  $R = 0$ .

**Example 1.3.** Some examples include basic number rings like  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$ , polynomial rings  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  constructed from a ring  $R$ , and  $C^\infty(M)$  where  $M$  is a manifold.

**Definition 1.4** (Ring Homomorphism). A ring homomorphism is a map  $f$  between rings  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $f$  respects addition, multiplication, and the identity element 1, i.e.  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ ,  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ , and  $f(1) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Subring). A subset  $S$  of a ring  $A$  is a subring of  $A$  if  $S$  is a ring with respect to the operations of  $A$ . Alternatively,  $S$  should be closed under addition, multiplication, and contains the identity element of  $A$ .

The commutative rings and the ring homomorphisms between them form a category **CRing**, the category of commutative rings.

**Definition 1.6** (Ideal). An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $A$  is a subset of  $A$  which is an additive subgroup and is such that  $AI \subseteq I$ .

**Remark 1.7.** The kernel of a ring homomorphism is always an ideal. The image of a ring homomorphism is always a subring. Ideals are usually not subrings.

The ring and the trivial subring are always ideals.

The quotient structure of a ring over an ideal is automatically a quotient group. The quotient structure then inherits a uniquely-defined multiplication from the ring and by the construction we have a ring structure. Therefore, the quotient structure is called a quotient ring. There is a natural surjective ring homomorphism from the ring into the quotient structure. The most important result on quotient ring structures is the following correspondence theorem.

**Theorem 1.8** (Correspondence Theorem). Given a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , there is a correspondence between ideals of  $R/I$  and the ideals of  $R$  that contain  $I$ .

**Definition 1.9** (Zero-divisor, Integral Domain). A zero-divisor  $x$  of a ring  $R$  is an element  $x \in R$  such that there exists a non-zero  $y \in R$  such that  $xy = 0$ .

A ring  $R$  is called an integral domain if  $R$  have no zero-divisors.

**Remark 1.10.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain.

**Definition 1.11** (Nilpotent, Reduced). An element  $x$  in a ring  $R$  is called nilpotent if  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n > 0$ . We say  $R$  is reduced if  $R$  have no nilpotent elements.

**Remark 1.12.** A nilpotent element is a zero-divisor whenever  $A$  is not the trivial ring.

**Definition 1.13** (Divide, Unit, Inverse). In a ring  $R$ , we say an element  $x$  divides another element  $x'$  if there exists some  $y \in R$  such that  $x' = xy$ .

An element  $x \in R$  is called a unit if  $x$  divides 1, that is,  $xy = 1$  for some  $y$ . In this case,  $y$  is called the multiplicative inverse of  $x$ , denoted  $x^{-1}$ . Analogously,  $y$  is called the additive inverse of  $x$  if  $x + y = 0$ , and we denote  $y = -x$ .

The units of  $R$  form a multiplicative Abelian group, denoted  $R^\times$ .

**Definition 1.14** (Principal Ideal). The ideal consisting multiples  $rx$  of an element  $x \in R$  is called principal, denoted  $(x)$  or  $Rx$ .

**Remark 1.15.**  $x$  is a unit if and only if  $R = (x)$ .

**Definition 1.16.** We say a ring  $R$  is a field if  $1 \neq 0$  and every non-zero element is a unit.

**Remark 1.17.** Every field is an integral domain.

**Remark 1.18.** In **CRing**,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the initial object (zero object), the zero ring is the terminal object.

**Proposition 1.19.** Let  $R$  be a non-trivial ring. The following are equivalent:

1.  $R$  is a field.
2. The only ideals of  $R$  are 0 and  $R$ .
3. Every homomorphism of  $R$  into a non-zero ring  $S$  is injective.

**Definition 1.20.** An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is prime if  $I \neq R$  and whenever  $xy \in I$  we have either  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ .

An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is maximal if  $I \neq R$  and there is no other ideal  $J$  such that  $I \subsetneq J \subsetneq R$ .

An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is radical if for every  $x \in R$  such that  $x^n \in I$  for some  $n$ , we must have  $x \in I$ .

**Remark 1.21.** An ideal  $I$  is prime if and only if  $R/I$  is a domain.

An ideal  $I$  is maximal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field.

An ideal  $I$  is radical if and only if  $R/I$  is reduced.

Geometrically speaking, maximal ideals of a ring corresponds to (closed) points in Zariski topological space, and prime ideals of a ring corresponds to irreducible closed subsets (varieties), which relates a ring to its spectrum. We will talk about these ideas later.

**Example 1.22.** Every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal, therefore of the form  $(m)$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . The prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $(m)$  where  $m$  is either 0 or a prime number. The maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $(m)$  where  $m$  is a prime number. The radical ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the principal ideals generated by the integers, i.e.  $(m)$  for any integer  $m$ .

Alternatively,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is prime; it is a domain if and only if  $n$  is prime or 0; it is reduced if and only if  $n$  is a product of distinct primes.

**Example 1.23.** For a field  $K$ , we consider  $K[x]$ . The maximal ideals of  $K[x]$  are of the form  $(f(x))$  where  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial, and the prime ideals of  $K[x]$  are  $(0)$  and the maximal ideals.

**Example 1.24.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , the prime ideals are generated by 0 and primes, and linear combinations of  $x$  and the integers. The quotient in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfies properties like  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(7) \cong \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x-3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

In general, for any ring  $R$ ,  $a \in R$ , and  $R[x]/(x-a) \cong R$ .

**Example 1.25.** Consider a field  $K$ , a set  $S$  and fix an arbitrary point  $s \in S$ . A ring of  $K$ -valued functions on  $S$ , including the constants in  $K$ , then maximal ideals are of the form  $I = \{f \in A : f(s) = 0\}$ , set of functions that vanishes at some  $s \in S$ .

**Lemma 1.26.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism with prime ideal  $P \subseteq B$ , then  $f^{-1}(P)$  is prime in  $A$ .

**Remark 1.27.** This is not true for maximal ideals. For example, if  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is the inclusion map, then  $f^{-1}((0)) = (0) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is not maximal.

**Theorem 1.28.** Every nonzero ring  $A$  has a maximal ideal.

*Proof.* Appeal to Zorn's lemma. □

**Corollary 1.29.** For every proper ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of ring  $A$ , there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  that contains  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Corollary 1.30.** Every non-unit element of  $A$  is contained in some maximal ideal of  $A$ .

**Definition 1.31** (Local Ring, Residue Field). A ring  $A$  with exactly one maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called a local ring. In particular, we call  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field of  $A$  (with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ ).

**Definition 1.32** (Principal Ideal Domain). A principal ideal domain (PID) is an integral domain in which every ideal is principal.

**Proposition 1.33.** In a PID, every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

**Definition 1.34** (Radical). The radical of an ideal  $I$  in a ring  $R$  is  $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R : \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, x^n \in I\}$ .

**Remark 1.35.** The radical of an ideal  $I$  in  $R$  is also an ideal in  $R$ . Moreover, the radical of  $I$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $R$  that contains  $I$ .

**Example 1.36.** If  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are polynomials in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let  $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be the set of points of  $K^n$  consisting of the common vanishing set of these polynomials.

The ideal generated by the  $f_i$ 's certainly also vanishes on  $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ .

In good cases, the set of functions vanishing on  $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  will be exactly the ideal  $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ .

The ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}$  consists of polynomial functions on  $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Therefore, if different polynomials agree on  $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , then their differences vanishes in the radical ideal  $\sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}$ .

**Example 1.37.** Consider  $K[x, y]/(y, y - x^2)$ . The set  $V(y, y - x^2)$  is now just the parabola  $y = x^2$  intersect by the set  $x$ -axis, which is the set  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . Note that the two curves do not intersect transversely.

Note that  $K[x, y]/(y, y - x^2) = K[x]/(x^2)$ . Therefore, we have a nilpotent element  $x$ . The vanishing point is now  $x = 0$ , and this is a fat point since it has multiplicity 2.

**Definition 1.38** (Nilradical). The nilradical of  $A$  is the set  $\eta$  of nilpotent elements in  $A$ , which is also an ideal in  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.39.** The nilradical is precisely the radical of the zero ideal, i.e., sometimes denoted  $\sqrt{0}$ , and is also precisely the intersection of all prime ideals.

*Proof.*  $\eta \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)} P$ : if  $x^m = 0$ , since  $0 \in P$ , so  $x \in P$ .

$\bigcap_{P \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)} P \subseteq \eta$ : let  $x \in R$  be not nilpotent. Consider the set  $S$  of ideals  $I$  in  $R$  such that  $x^n \notin I$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . It is not empty since the zero ideal is in it. For any totally ordered subset  $T \subseteq S$ , let  $J = \bigcup_{I \in T} I$ . This is also an ideal in  $S$ . By Zorn's Lemma,  $S$  has a maximal element  $K$ . It does not contain  $x$ .

**Claim 1.40.**  $K$  is prime.

*Subproof.* Suppose  $a \notin K$ ,  $b \notin K$ , we want to show that  $ab \notin K$ . By maximality,  $(a) + K$  is not in  $S$ . Therefore,  $x^n \in (a) + K$  for some  $n$ . Similarly,  $x^m \in (b) + K$ . But now  $x^{n+m} \in (ab) + K$ , so  $(ab) + K \notin S$ , and so  $ab \notin K$ . ■

□

**Definition 1.41.** The Jacobson radical of a ring  $A$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of the ring.

**Proposition 1.42.** The Jacobson ideal is precisely the set of elements  $x \in A$  such that  $1 - xy$  is a unit in  $A$  for all  $y \in A$ .

## 2 ZARISKI TOPOLOGY AND SPECTRUM

**Definition 2.1** (Zariski Topology, Spectrum). Let  $A$  be a ring and let  $X$  be the set of prime ideals of  $A$ . For each subset  $E$  of  $A$ , denote  $V(E)$  as the set of all prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $E$ . Note that  $V(E)$  behaves like the closed sets in a topology, in particular

- Suppose  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the ideal generated by  $E$ , then  $V(E) = V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$ , where  $r(\mathfrak{a})$  is the radical of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .
- $V(0) = X$  and  $V(1) = \emptyset$ .
- $V(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(E_i)$  for any family of subsets  $(E_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $A$ .
- $V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$  for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  of  $A$ .

Therefore, we call the corresponding topology on  $X$  the Zariski topology. In particular,  $X$  is called the prime spectrum, denoted  $\mathbf{Spec}(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\mathbf{Spec}(A)$  is a topological space for any commutative ring  $A$ .

*Proof.* Left as an exercise. □

**Example 2.3.** Consider a structure  $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , with a given  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Note that points are like maximal ideals, and ring of functions vanishing at a point are maximal ideals  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ . Therefore, points are in one-to-one correspondence with the homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $K$ .

All prime ideals of  $A$  arise as  $f^{-1}(0)$  for some map from  $A$  to  $K$  a field.

There are a few common operations defined on ideals. We can see how these operations interact on the spectrum.

**Example 2.4** (Operations on Ideals). • For any ideals  $I, J$ ,  $I + J$  is the smallest ideal containing  $I$  and  $J$ . It contains the sum of elements of  $I$  and  $J$ .

Let  $S$  be a set of ideals in  $R$ , then  $\sum_{I \in S} I$  is the smallest ideal that contains every ideal in  $S$ . It consists of finite sum of elements of the ideals in  $S$ .

- $IJ$  is the ideal generated by elements of the form  $xy$  where  $x \in I$  and  $y \in J$ . It is essentially the set of finite sums of elements of this form.
- $I \cap J$  is the set-theoretic intersection of  $I$  and  $J$ .

Geometrically, the vanishing set of  $I + J$  is the intersection of the vanishing set of  $I$  and the vanishing set of  $J$ . A smaller vanishing set corresponds to a larger ideal. In particular, taking products and intersections of ideals corresponds to taking the union of vanishing sets.

**Example 2.5.** •  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .

- Obviously  $IJ$  is not always equal to  $I \cap J$ . Take  $I = J$  for example. One can also find examples where  $IJ \neq I \cap J$  and  $I \neq J$ .
- Show that if  $I + J = R$ , then  $IJ = I \cap J$ .
- Show that if  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  is a set of distinct ideals with  $I_i + I_j = R$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then the map  $R \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n R/I_i$  is surjective.

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ , then  $\sqrt{IJ} \subseteq \sqrt{I \cap J}$ . For the other inclusion, we see that if  $x^n \in I \cap J$ , then  $x^{2n}$  is in  $IJ$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** If  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}$ , then any prime ideal containing  $I$  also contains  $J$ .

*Proof.* Take an prime ideal  $P$  that contains  $I$ , then  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq P$ . Indeed, if  $I \subseteq P$ , then for  $x \in \sqrt{I}$ ,  $x^n \in I \subseteq P$ , and so  $x \in P$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{J} \subseteq P$ , therefore we know  $J \subseteq P$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.8** (Scheme). A scheme is a functor  $F : \mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  satisfying certain conditions. It is covered by the corresponding functors  $\mathbf{Hom}_{Ring}(R, -)$  and that these functors glue together to give  $F$ .

Alternatively, a scheme is a locally ringed space, locally isomorphic to an affine scheme.

An affine scheme is a topological space that comes with a sheaf of rings cooked up out of a ring.

**Definition 2.9** (Affine Algebraic Variety). Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field and let  $f_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  be a set of polynomial equations in  $n$  variables with coefficients in  $K$ . The set  $X$  of all points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$  which satisfy these equations is an affine algebraic variety.

Consider the set of all polynomials  $g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with the property that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . This set is an ideal  $I(X)$  in the polynomial ring, and is called the ideal of

the variety  $X$ . The quotient ring  $P(X) = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X)$  is the ring of polynomial functions on  $X$ , because two polynomials  $g, h$  define the same polynomial function on  $X$  if and only if  $g - h$  vanishes at every point of  $X$ , that is, if and only if  $g - h \in I(X)$ .

**Example 2.10.** Recall that  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(0), (2), (3), (5), (7), \dots\}$ .

Evaluating the “function”  $n$  at the different “points” in  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  means taking the image of  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ , so just have a map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p)$  that sends  $n$  to  $\bar{n}$ . The vanishing set of such functions are closed in the topology. For example, take  $n = 12$ , then 12 vanishes at  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  in the spectrum.

$(0)$  is the generic point, in the sense that it is “near” every point.

**Example 2.11.**  $\mathbf{Spec}(0) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(0)\}$ , i.e. a single point. Also,  $\mathbf{Spec}\mathbb{C}[x]$  is the set of ideals of the form  $(x - a)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Example 2.12.** 1.  $\mathbf{Spec}(K)$  is a point for a field  $K$ .

2.  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x])$  is a cofinite topology on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a generic point.

3.  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$  has real points and points corresponding to complex conjugate numbers.

4.  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy))$  is two copies of  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x])$  glued at the origin.

We usually write points of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  as  $x, y$ , with corresponding prime ideals  $P_x, P_y$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** For  $x \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , then  $\overline{\{x\}} = V(P_x)$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that  $V(P_x)$  is contained in any closed set containing  $x$ . Suppose  $y \in V(P_x)$  and  $x \in V(I)$ . Then  $I \subseteq P_x \subseteq P_y$ .  $\square$

For a point  $x$ , the singleton  $\{x\}$  is just its own closure. The closed points of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  are given by maximal ideals.

$\mathbf{Spec}$  satisfies functoriality.

**Lemma 2.14.** For  $f : R \rightarrow S$  a morphism of rings, the preimage of an ideal is an ideal.

*Proof.* If  $I$  is ideal in  $S$ ,  $f^{-1}(I)$  is the kernel of  $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I$ . If  $I$  is prime, then  $S/I$  is a domain.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, then  $f^\# : \mathbf{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  given by  $I \mapsto f^{-1}(I)$ . Then

1.  $f^\#$  is continuous.



2. For an ideal  $I$  in  $R$ ,  $\mathbf{Spec}(R/I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is homeomorphism onto the closed subset  $V(I)$ .

*Proof.* 1. It suffices to show that the preimage of a closed set is closed. Indeed, we know  $(f^\#)^{-1}(V(I)) = V((f(I)))$ , where  $(f(I))$  is an ideal in  $S$  generated by  $f(I)$ . Now  $y \in (f^\#)^{-1}(V(I))$  if and only if  $f^\#(y) \in V(I)$  if and only if  $I \subseteq f^{-1}(P_y)$ . Therefore,  $f(I) \subseteq P_y$ , and so  $y \in V((f(I)))$ . Also, if  $y \in V((f(I)))$ , then  $(f(I)) \subseteq P_y$ , but  $I \subseteq f^{-1}(f(I)) \subseteq f^{-1}(P_y)$ , and so  $y \in (f^\#)^{-1}(V(I))$ .

2.  $\mathbf{Spec}(R/I) \cong V(I) \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , where the isomorphism is given by  $R \rightarrow R/I$ . The inverse is continuous. Show image of closed set in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R/I)$  is still closed in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . We want to show  $\pi^\#(V(J)) = V(\pi^{-1}(J))$ . Note that for  $x \in V(J)$ , we know  $J \subseteq P_x$ , so  $\pi^{-1}J \subseteq \pi^{-1}P_x$ , i.e.  $\pi^\#x \in V(\pi^{-1}J)$ . Therefore, we have  $\pi^\#(V(J)) \subseteq V(\pi^{-1}(J))$ . On the other hand, for  $y \in V(\pi^{-1}J)$ , then  $\pi^{-1}J \subseteq P_y$ , and as  $I \subseteq \pi(P_y)$  is a prime ideal in  $P/I$ , so  $y \in \pi^\#(V(I))$ .

□

**Corollary 2.16.** For a ring  $R$ ,  $R \rightarrow R/\sqrt{0}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\mathbf{Spec}(R/\sqrt{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ .

**Definition 2.17.** A nonempty space  $X$  is irreducible if  $X$  is not the union of two proper closed subsets of  $X$ . (Equivalently, every pair of non-empty open sets in  $X$  intersect, or we can say every non-empty open set is dense in  $X$ .)

**Proposition 2.18.**  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is irreducible if and only if the nilradical of  $R$  is prime.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sqrt{0}$  is prime and suppose that  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) = V(I) = \bigcup V(J)$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) \neq V(I)$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) = V(J)$ , and it suffices to show that  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ , which is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $R$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) \neq V(I)$  and there is some  $x \in I$  that is not contained in every prime ideal. Let  $j \in J$  and  $V(IJ) = \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , then this implies that  $xj \in IJ$  is contained in every prime ideal. Therefore,  $xj \in \sqrt{0}$ . But  $x$  is not contained in every prime ideal, so  $x \notin \sqrt{0}$ , and so  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ . Therefore,  $V(J) = \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ .

In the other direction, suppose  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is irreducible. Now if  $V(I) \cup V(J) = \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , then  $V(I)$  or  $V(J)$  is all of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . Suppose  $xy \in \sqrt{0}$ , and  $x$  is not nilpotent. Then  $0 \subseteq (x)(y) \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ , so  $V((x)(y)) = \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) = V(x) \cup V(y)$ . Now  $V(x) \neq \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , otherwise  $x$  is contained in every prime ideal and therefore nilpotent. Therefore,  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) = V(y)$ , and so  $y$  is in every prime ideal, so  $y$  is nilpotent. Therefore, the nilradical of  $R$  is prime. □

**Remark 2.19.** The closure of an irreducible is irreducible.

Every irreducible closed subset of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is of the form  $V(P)$ .

Every prime ideal contains a minimal prime ideal.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime, then  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is a maximal irreducible set of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . In particular, if prime ideals satisfy  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ , then  $V(P_1) \supseteq V(P_2)$ .

**Definition 2.20.** A maximal irreducible subset of a space  $X$  is called a component of  $X$ .

**Remark 2.21.** Note that the nilradical is the intersection of all the elements in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , then  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is the union of its maximal irreducible subsets.

In a ring  $R$ , a closed subset in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is irreducible if and only if it is the closure of a point.

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  be an irreducible closed subset. Now we have  $S = V(I)$  for some unique radical ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , then we want to show that  $I$  is prime if  $S$  is irreducible. Suppose  $I \neq R$ , let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in I$ . Consider  $V(I + (a)), V(I + (b)) \subseteq V(I) \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . Suppose  $a, b \notin I$ . Since  $I$  is radical and  $I + (a)$  and  $I + (b)$  are strictly larger, then  $V(I + (a))$  and  $V(I + (b))$  are strictly closed subset of  $S$ . Now  $V(I + (a)) \cup V(I + (b)) = V((I + (a))(I + (b))) = V(I + (ab))$ , and so  $V(I)$  is not irreducible, contradiction. Therefore,  $I$  is prime.

### 3 MODULES

**Definition 3.1** (Module). Let  $A$  be a ring. An  $A$ -module is  $(M, \mu : A \times M \rightarrow M)$  where  $M$  is an Abelian group and on which  $A$  acts linearly, i.e.  $\mu$  linearizes rings. That is to say,  $\mu$  satisfies

- $a(x + y) = ax + ay$ ,
- $(a + b)x = ax + bx$ ,
- $(ab)x = a(bx)$ ,
- $1x = x$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $x, y \in M$ . Equivalently,  $M$  is an Abelian group with a ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(M)$ .

A mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is called an  $A$ -module homomorphism (or  $A$ -linear) if  $M, N$  are  $A$ -modules and  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and  $f(ax) = a \cdot f(x)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $a \in A$ .

Essentially, an  $R$ -module linearizes rings.

**Remark 3.2.** The set of  $R$ -module homomorphisms form an Abelian group. In particular, for a commutative ring  $R$ ,  $\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is an  $R$ -module. This can be done by defining operations  $f + g$  and  $af$  elementwise.

**Example 3.3.** 1. For a field  $K$ , a  $K$ -module is a  $K$ -vector space.

2. Free  $R$ -modules:  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , the structure  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ .

3. A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is just an Abelian group.

4. An ideal  $I$  in commutative ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -module, and  $R/I$  is an  $R$ -module.

5. A  $K[x]$ -module  $M$  is equivalent to a  $K$ -vector space  $M$  together with a  $K$ -linear map  $M \rightarrow M$ . This can be extended to  $K[x, 1 \cdots, x_n]$ .

6. For a topological space  $X$ , a vector bundle is a surjective map  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ . The set of sections of  $\pi$  is a  $C(X)$ -module.

7. For any group  $G$  and any field  $K$ , a group ring is defined as  $KG$ . A representation of  $G$  over  $K$  is exactly a  $KG$ -module.

**Definition 3.4** (Annihilator). The annihilator of an  $A$ -module  $M$  is  $\mathbf{Ann}_A(M) = \{a \in A : am = 0 \in M \ \forall m \in M\}$ . The annihilator is an ideal of  $A$ .

**Definition 3.5** (Faithful). We say an  $A$ -module  $M$  is faithful if  $\mathbf{Ann}_A(M) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{Ann}_A(M) = \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $M$  is faithful as an  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ -module.

**Definition 3.6.** For any subset  $S$  of  $R$ -modules  $M$ , the  $R$ -module of  $M$  generated by  $S$  is

1. Intersection of all  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  containing  $S$ , or alternatively
2. Finite  $R$ -linear combinations of elements of  $S$ .

**Definition 3.7** (Free Module). A free  $A$ -module is a module isomorphic to an  $A$ -module of the form  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  where each  $M_i \cong A$  as an  $A$ -module. Therefore, a finitely-generated free  $A$ -module is isomorphic to  $A^{\oplus n} \cong A^n$ . In particular, let  $I$  be a set and  $R$  is a ring. The free  $R$ -module over  $I$ ,  $R^{\otimes I}$  is the set of functions  $f : I \rightarrow R$  such that  $\{x \in I : f(x) \neq 0\}$  is finite.

General direct sum and product are usual categorical notions. Every  $R$ -module is a quotient of a free module.

**Proposition 3.8.**  $M$  is a finitely-generated  $A$ -module if and only if  $M$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $A^n$  for some integer  $n > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.9** (Nakayama). Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated  $A$ -module and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $A$  contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}M = M$  implies  $M = 0$ .

Let  $A$  be a local ring,  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal,  $K = A/\mathfrak{m}$  its residue field. Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated  $A$ -module.  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ , hence is naturally an  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ -module, i.e., a  $K$ -vector space, and as such is finite-dimensional.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be elements of  $M$  whose images in  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$  form a basis of this vector space, then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  generate  $M$ .

Exact sequences are sometimes used for the presentation of modules.

**Proposition 3.11.** Suppose we have a sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \xrightarrow{g} M_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

then the sequence is exact if and only if the following sequence is exact for every  $A$ -module  $N$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(M_3, N) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Hom}(M_2, N) \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{Hom}(M_1, N)$$

Alternatively, suppose we have a sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \xrightarrow{g} M_3,$$

then the sequence is exact if and only if the following sequence is exact for every  $A$ -module  $N$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(N, M_1) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Hom}(N, M_2) \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{Hom}(N, M_3)$$

**Definition 3.12** (Free Presentation). A free presentation of an  $R$ -module is an exact sequence

$$R^{\otimes J} \longrightarrow R^{\otimes I} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

That is,  $M$  is generated by  $I$  elements  $e_i \in M$  for  $i \in I$ . The exactness implies that  $M \cong R^{\otimes I}/\mathbf{im}(R^{\otimes J})$ . In particular, if  $I$  is finite, then  $M$  is a finitely-generated module. If  $I$  and  $J$  are finite sets, then the presentation is called a finite presentation; a module is called finitely presented if it admits a finite presentation.

**Lemma 3.13.** Every  $R$ -module has a presentation.

*Proof.* Consider  $R$ -module  $M$  and choose a set of generators of  $M$ , namely  $I$ . Now there is an exact sequence

$$\ker(f) \longrightarrow R^{\otimes I} \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$$

Then choose generators  $f_j$  for  $\ker(f)$ , where  $j \in J$ . We now extend the sequence to

$$R^{\otimes J} \longrightarrow R^{\otimes I} \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$$

Note that the kernel might not be free. □

**Example 3.14.** Let  $M$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, e_2 \mid 2e_1 = 2e_2 \rangle$ , which is the cokernel of  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  that sends  $1 \mapsto (2, -2)$ .

One can show that  $M \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 3.15** (Projective). An  $R$ -module is projective if it is a direct summand of a free module.

**Example 3.16.** 1. A free  $R$ -module is projective.

2. For field  $K$ , every  $K$ -module is free, and therefore projective.

3. A module  $M$  over a PID is projective if and only if it is free.

Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not projective over  $\mathbb{Z}$  because it is not free.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let  $M$  be a  $R$ -module. The following are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is projective.

2. Any exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$  splits.

3. For any exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \nwarrow & \uparrow \\
 & & & & & & M
 \end{array}$$

such that  $M \rightarrow C$  is

$R$ -linear, we have a lift to the map  $M \rightarrow B$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $R^{\otimes I} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a set of generators for  $M$ . Let  $A = \ker(f)$ , then  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow R^{\otimes I} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is exact. By (2), it splits, so  $R^{\otimes I} = A \oplus M$ , so  $M$  is projective.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): The lift gives a splitting as desired.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): exercise. □

**Example 3.18.** Let  $E$  be a real vector bundle over a paracompact Hausdorff space  $X$ . This space  $X$  is neither compact nor finite-dimension. Note that we can always find another vector bundle  $F$  such that  $E \oplus F \cong \mathbb{R}_X^N$ , which is the trivial bundle of rank  $N$ . The module of sections of the vector bundle  $E$  is projective, since  $M_E \oplus M_F \cong C(X)^{\oplus N}$ .

**Lemma 3.19** (Snake Lemma).

## 4 TENSOR PRODUCT

**Definition 4.1.** An  $R$ -linear map  $M \times N \rightarrow P$  of  $R$ -modules is a  $R$ -linear map in each variable.

The tensor product of  $R$ -modules is an  $R$ -module  $A \otimes_R B$  equipped with a bilinear map  $\otimes : A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ . This map satisfies the universal property. For every  $R$ -bilinear map  $f : A \times B \rightarrow M$ , there is a unique linear map  $g : A \otimes_R B \rightarrow M$  such that  $g \circ \otimes = f$ .

The following lemma says that the tensor product can be obtained by quotienting certain equivalence relations out of the usual categorical product.

**Lemma 4.2.** The tensor product of any two  $R$ -modules  $A, B$  exists. Let  $M$  be the quotient of the free  $R^{\oplus(A \times B)}$  by the submodule generated by  $(a_1 + a_2) \otimes b - a_1 \otimes b - a_2 \otimes b$ ,  $a \otimes (b_1 + b_2) - a \otimes b_1 - a \otimes b_2$ ,  $r(a \otimes b) - ra \otimes b$ , and  $r(a \otimes b) - a \otimes (rb)$  for all  $r \in R$ ,  $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$ .

In other words, the tensor product has the property that the  $A$ -bilinear mappings  $M \times N \rightarrow P$  are in a natural one-to-one correspondence with the  $A$ -linear mappings  $T \rightarrow P$ , for all  $A$ -modules  $P$ . More precisely:

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $M, N$  be  $A$ -modules. Then there exists a pair  $(T, g)$  consisting of an  $A$ -module  $T$  and an  $A$ -bilinear mapping  $g : M \times N \rightarrow T$ , with the following property:

Given any  $A$ -module  $P$  and any  $A$ -bilinear mapping  $f : M \times N \rightarrow P$ , there exists a unique  $A$ -linear mapping  $f' : T \rightarrow P$  such that  $f = f' \circ g$ , i.e. every bilinear function on  $M \times N$  factors through  $T$ . Moreover, if  $(T, g)$  and  $(T', g')$  are two pairs with this property, then there exists a unique isomorphism  $j : T \rightarrow T'$  such that  $j \circ g = g'$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Every element of  $M \otimes_R N$  is a finite sum  $\sum_{i=1}^N r_i(m_i \otimes n_i)$ , this also equals  $\sum_{i=1}^r (rm_i) \otimes n_i$ , so everything is just a sum of basis elements (not unique).

It is not true that every element is of form  $m \otimes n$ .

It may not be clear whether an element is zero or not in this structure.

For a noncommutative ring  $R$ , can define a tensor product of a right  $R$ -module  $M$  and a left  $R$ -module  $N$ . Now  $M \otimes_R N$  is not an  $R$ -module, but it is an Abelian group.

Tensor products is a functor in each variable.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $x_i \in M, y_i \in N$  such that  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = 0$  in  $M \otimes N$ . Then there exists finitely generated submodules  $M_0$  of  $M$  and  $N_0$  of  $N$  such that  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = 0$  in  $M_0 \otimes N_0$ .

*Proof.*  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = 0$  in  $M \otimes N$ . Now  $\sum (x_i, y_i) \in D$  indicates the sum is a finite sum of generators in  $D$ . Let  $M_0 \subseteq M$  generated by  $x_i$  and elements of  $M$  occurs as first coordinates in the generator of  $D$ . Similarly for  $N_0$ . Now  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = 0$  as an element of  $M_0 \otimes N_0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** Inductively, there is a multi-tensor product.

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $M, N, P$  be  $R$ -modules. Then there exists unique isomorphisms that are also canonical:

- $M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ ,
- $(M \otimes N) \otimes P \rightarrow M \otimes (N \otimes P) \rightarrow M \otimes N \otimes P$ ,
- $(M \oplus N) \otimes P \rightarrow (M \otimes P) \oplus (N \otimes P)$ ,
- $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** Tensor product preserves right exact sequences. For an exact sequence

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules,

$$A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M \rightarrow C \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Example 4.9.** For any element  $f \in R$ , apply lemma to  $R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow R/(f) \rightarrow 0$ . Get that for any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R/(f) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Now  $M \otimes_R R/(f) = M/(f)$ .

For example,  $(\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus 0$ .

**Example 4.10.** Given a ring  $R$  and  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  with a presentation for each, i.e.

$$R^{\oplus I_1} \rightarrow R^{\oplus I_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$R^{\oplus J_1} \rightarrow R^{\oplus J_0} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. By the result of exactness of tensor product with  $M$ , we get an exact sequence

$$M^{\oplus J_1} \rightarrow M^{\oplus J_0} \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

We can turn this into a presentation of  $M \otimes_R N$  by considering  $M \otimes_R N$  as generated by  $e_i \otimes f_j$  for generators  $e_i$  of  $M$  and  $f_j$  of  $N$ . The relations  $r_i$  in  $M$  produce relation  $r_i \otimes f_i$  in  $M \otimes_R N$ . For example,  $R/(a_1) \otimes R/(a_2) \cong R/(a_1, \dots, a_2)$ .

**Definition 4.11.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of rings and let  $N$  be a  $B$ -module. Then  $N$  has an  $A$ -module structure defined as follows: if  $a \in A$  and  $x \in N$ , then  $ax$  is defined to be  $f(a)x$ . This  $A$ -module is said to be obtained from  $N$  by restriction of scalars. In particular,  $f$  defines in this way an  $A$ -module structure on  $B$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** Suppose  $N$  is finitely-generated as a  $B$ -module and that  $B$  is finitely-generated as an  $A$ -module, then  $N$  is finitely-generated as an  $A$ -module.

Note that the tensor product and the hom functor commutes well, and gives the tensor-hom adjunction.

**Remark 4.13.** There is a canonical isomorphism given by

$$\mathbf{Hom}(M \otimes N, P) \cong \mathbf{Hom}(M, \mathbf{Hom}(N, P)).$$

**Definition 4.14.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is flat if the functor  $- \otimes_R M$  is exact.

**Example 4.15.**  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  not flat as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Any free module is flat. Moreover, any projective module is flat, since the summand of flat modules is flat.

**Example 4.16.**  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is flat but not projective. We can prove flatness by applying the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.17.** For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is flat.
2. The functor  $- \otimes N$  preserves exact sequences of  $R$ -modules.
3. If  $f : N' \rightarrow N$  is injective, then  $f \otimes 1 : N' \otimes M \rightarrow N \otimes M$  is injective.
4. If  $f : N' \rightarrow N$  is injective for finitely-generated  $R$ -modules  $N$  and  $N'$ , then  $f \otimes 1$  is injective.

**Example 4.18.** For a domain  $R$ , a flat  $R$ -module is torsion-free.

For a PID  $R$ ,  $M$  is flat if and only if  $M$  is torsion-free.



## 5 ALGEBRA

**Definition 5.1.** For commutative ring  $A$ , an  $A$ -algebra is a commutative ring  $B$  with a ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$ .

Alternatively, let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. If  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , define a product  $a \cdot b = f(a)b$ , then this makes  $B$  into an  $A$ -module according to the restriction of scalars. Therefore,  $B$  has an  $A$ -module structure as well as a ring structure. The structure on  $B$  is now called an  $A$ -algebra, and therefore gives the definition above.

**Example 5.2.**  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a  $K$ -algebra. Any ring is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra in a unique way.

$M_n(K)$  is a  $K$ -algebra, and  $KG$  as group ring is a  $K$ -algebra.

**Definition 5.3.** An  $A$ -algebra homomorphism is a given commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B_2 \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & A & \end{array}$$

For a ring  $A$  and  $n \geq 0$ , the polynomial ring  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has the following universal property in the category of commutative  $A$ -algebras. That is, for any  $A$ -algebra  $B$ , we have an isomorphism between the hom set from  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  to  $B$  and the functions from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $B$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A finitely-generated  $A$ -algebra is an  $A$ -algebra such that there exists a finite set of elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $B$  such that every element of  $B$  can be written as a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in  $f(A)$ . Equivalently, there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that the evaluation homomorphism at  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  given by  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$  is a surjection.

We sometimes also say such algebra is an  $A$ -algebra of finite type. In particular, we see that an  $A$ -algebra is of finite type if it is finitely-generated as an  $A$ -algebra, that is,  $B \cong A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  for some ideal  $I$ .

An affine variety over a field  $K$  means  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , where  $R$  is a domain of finite type over  $K$ . Note that since  $R$  is a domain, then the spectrum is irreducible.

If  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra, then there is a functor from the category of  $B$ -modules to the category of  $A$ -modules, given by  $M \mapsto M$ , namely the restriction of scalars. (If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is the structure homomorphism given by  $aM = f(a) \cdot M$ .) Using the tensor product, we can define the extension of scalars as a functor from  $A$ -modules to  $B$ -modules, given by  $M \mapsto M \otimes_A B$ . Now  $B$  is an  $A$ -module by multiplication.  $M \otimes_A B$  has the module structure, and given by  $b_1(m \otimes b_2) = m \otimes (b_1 b_2)$ .

**Example 5.5.** Note that  $A^{\oplus I} \otimes_A B \cong B^{\oplus I}$ . More generally, the extension of scalars with given presentation to the  $B$ -module with same presentation.

**Example 5.6.** If  $M \cong \langle e_1, e_2 \mid 2e_1 = 2e_2 \rangle$ , then  $M \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , then we know  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q} \langle e_1, e_2 \mid 2e_1 = 2e_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}e_1$ , it is a one-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, i.e. can solve for  $e_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Also,  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \langle e_1, e_2 \mid 2e_1 = 2e_2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 5.7.** An  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is flat if  $B$  is flat as an  $A$ -module.

An  $R$ -module determines vector spaces over all fields. We have  $\text{Frac}(R/p)$  via tensor product for prime  $p$  in  $R$ .

**Example 5.8.**  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$  has dimension 1 in most places, dimension 2 at  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ , “like a one-dimensional bundle everywhere except 7”.

## 6 RINGS AND MODULES OF FRACTIONS

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset (i.e.,  $1 \in S$ , and closed under multiplication). We get a localization  $A[S^{-1}]$ , sometimes denoted  $S^{-1}A$ , in which the elements of  $A$  are invertible.

**Theorem 6.2.** We can define  $A[S^{-1}]$  such that there is an  $f : A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$  such that

1. For each  $s \in S$ ,  $f(s)$  is invertible.
2.  $A[S^{-1}]$  is universal with the property: for any  $g : A \rightarrow B$  with  $g(s)$  invertible for all  $s \in S$ , then there is a unique map  $h : A[S^{-1}] \rightarrow B$  such that  $h \circ f = g$ .

**Example 6.3.** For a domain  $A$ ,  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$  is multiplicatively closed  $A[S^{-1}]$  is the fractional field of  $A$ .

For a domain  $A$  and  $S$  a multiplicative set without 0, then there is a map from  $A$  to  $A[S^{-1}]$ , and so  $A \subseteq A[S^{-1}] \subseteq \text{Frac}(A)$ .

If  $0 \in S$ , then  $A[S^{-1}]$  is the zero ring.

For any ring  $A$ , if  $f \in A$ , then  $A[\frac{1}{f}]$  is the localization with  $S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$ . This is the set of regular functions on the open set  $\{f \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(A)$ .

The ring  $S^{-1}A$  is sometimes called the ring of fractions of  $A$  with respect to  $S$ , and satisfies the following universal property.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $g : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism such that  $g(s)$  is a unit for all  $s \in S$ . Then there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $h : S^{-1}A \rightarrow B$  such that  $g = h \circ f$ .

The ring  $S^{-1}A$  and the homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$  have the following properties:

1.  $s \in S$  implies  $f(s)$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}A$ .
2.  $f(a) = 0$  implies  $as = 0$  for some  $s \in S$ .
3. Every element of  $S^{-1}A$  is of the form  $f(a)f(s)^{-1}$  for some  $a \in A$  and some  $s \in S$ .

Conversely, these three conditions determine the ring  $S^{-1}A$  up to isomorphism.

**Corollary 6.5.** If  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism such that

1.  $s \in S$  implies  $g(s)$  is a unit in  $B$ .
2.  $g(a) = 0$  implies  $as = 0$  for some  $s \in S$ .
3. Every element of  $B$  is of the form  $g(a)g(s)^{-1}$ , then there exists a unique isomorphism  $h : S^{-1}A \rightarrow B$  such that  $g = h \circ f$ .

**Example 6.6.**  $\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}] = V(5)^c$  in the spectrum. Now  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$  has maps to  $\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Q}$ , but not  $\mathbb{Z}/5$ .

**Remark 6.7.** Let  $p$  be a prime ideal of  $A$ , we define  $A_p = A[S^{-1}]$  where  $S = R \setminus p$ . Here  $S$  is multiplicatively closed when  $p$  is prime. This is the localization of  $A$  at  $p$ .

**Example 6.8.** For example  $\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$  is the set of rationals where  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . This is essentially the germs of regular functions at 5.

$K[x, x^{-1}] = K[x][\frac{1}{x}]$  is the set of elements of the form  $\frac{f}{x^r}$  with  $f \in R[x]$  and  $r \geq 0$ . This is the ring of Laurent polynomials over  $K$ . Note that this is not a field. Moreover, this is the set of functions on affine line minus the origin.

$\mathbb{C}[x]_{(x)}$  is the set of rational functions defined at the origin.

**Theorem 6.9.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicative closed set of a ring  $A$ . Then the prime ideals in  $A[S^{-1}]$  are in one-to-one correspondence with prime ideals  $p \subseteq A$  such that  $p \cap S = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 6.10.**  $S^{-1}$  as an operation is exact.

**Example 6.11.** 1.  $\text{Spec}(A[\frac{1}{f}]) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid f \notin p\}$ , here  $S = \{1, f, \dots\}$  and  $S \cap P = \emptyset$ .

2.  $\text{Spec}(A_p) = \{q \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid q \subseteq p\}$ . They are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  containing  $V(p)$ . Here  $S = A \setminus p$  and  $S \cap p = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $S^{-1}A$ -modules  $S^{-1}M$  and  $S^{-1}A \otimes_A M$  are isomorphic. More precisely, there exists a unique isomorphism  $f : S^{-1}A \otimes_A M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$  given by  $f(\frac{a}{s} \otimes m) = \frac{am}{s}$  for all  $a \in A, m \in M, s \in S$ .

**Corollary 6.13.**  $S^{-1}A$  is a flat  $A$ -module.

**Definition 6.14.** A ring  $A$  is local if it has exactly one maximal ideal  $m$ . For a local ring  $A$ , the field  $A/m$  is called the residue field of  $A$ .

**Example 6.15.** A field is local.

**Lemma 6.16.** A ring  $A$  is local if and only if the non-units of  $A$  form an ideal of  $A$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $A$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $m$ , then the elements in  $m$  are not units. If  $a \notin m$ ,  $a$  must be a unit. If not,  $(a) \neq R$ , so  $(a)$  is contained in a maximal ideal, so  $(a) \subseteq m$ , and so  $a \in m$ , which means  $a$  is not a unit, contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $A$  be any ring where non-units form an ideal  $I$ . Obviously  $1 \in I$  and if  $I \subsetneq J$ , then  $J$  contains a unit, then  $J = A$ , and  $I$  is maximal.

We now show that  $I$  is the unique maximal ideal. If  $K$  is another maximal ideal, then  $K \not\subseteq I$ , but then  $K$  would have a unit, contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 6.17.** The power series ring  $A = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is local since the non-units are exactly the elements with constant term 0, and forms an ideal. Moreover,  $A/m = K$  in this case.

**Theorem 6.18.** For  $p$  a prime ideal in  $A$ , then  $A_p$  is local.

*Proof.* The unique maximal ideal is  $m = pA_p$ , corresponding to  $p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.19.** The residue field of  $A_p$  is  $\text{Frac}(A/p)$ . For example,  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  has residue field  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ .  $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(x)}$  is a local ring with residue field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Example 6.20.** Consider  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{(x)}$ , a local ring. The residue field is  $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[y]) = \mathbb{C}(y)$ .

A rational function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{(x)}$  if it is of the form  $\frac{g}{h}$  where  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , and  $h \notin (x)$ , which means  $h$  is not identically zero on  $y$ -axis. Therefore,  $f$  is defined on most of  $y$ -axis.

For example,  $\frac{1}{1+y}$  has pole at  $(0, -1)$ , but it is still in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{(x)}$ . Now there is a map  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{(x)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(y)$  means restriction to the  $y$ -axis.

**Proposition 6.21.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $M = 0$ ,

2.  $M_p = 0$  for all prime ideals  $p$  of  $A$ ,
3.  $M_m = 0$  for all maximal ideals  $m$  of  $A$ .

**Proposition 6.22.** Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $A$ -module homomorphism, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\varphi$  is injective,
2.  $\varphi_p : M_p \rightarrow N_p$  is injective for all prime ideals  $p$ ,
3.  $\varphi_p : M_m \rightarrow N_m$  is injective for all maximal ideals  $m$ .

**Remark 6.23.** Similar results hold on surjective maps.

**Proposition 6.24.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module,
2.  $M_p$  is a flat  $A_p$ -module for all prime ideals  $p$ .
3.  $M_m$  is a flat  $A_m$ -module for all maximal ideals  $m$ .

For a prime ideal  $p \subseteq R$ , the field  $\text{Frac}(R/p)$  is called the residue field of the ring  $R$  at  $p$ .

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have an isomorphism  $M_p \cong M \otimes_R R_p$ , and call this the stalk of  $M$  at  $p$ , and  $M \otimes_R \text{Frac}(R/p)$  is called the fiber of  $M$  at  $p$ .

**Remark 6.25.** For an  $R$ -module  $M$  and ideal  $I \subseteq R$ ,  $M \otimes R/I \cong M/IM$ . In other words,

$$(0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0) \otimes_R M$$

is exact, i.e.

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \otimes_R (R/I) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, and so  $M \otimes R/I \cong M/IM$ .

Note that for  $M = 0$ , it is sufficient to show that  $M_p = 0$  for all prime ideal  $p$ . Note that this is only true for stalks but not fibers.

**Example 6.26.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , then there are  $R$ -modules  $M$  with  $M \neq 0$  but such that  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p = 0$ .

Similarly, we have  $R = \mathbb{Q}$  as an example.

Note that there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M \neq 0$  but all its fibers at prime ideals are 0, so  $M/pM = 0$  and  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$ , as every element in  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is torsion:  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = M_{(0)}$ .

Also consider  $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  identifiable with group of roots of unity.

**Lemma 6.27** (Nakayama). If  $R$  is a local ring, and  $M$  is a finitely-generated  $R$ -module, and  $m$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . If  $M \otimes_R R/m = 0$ , then  $M = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have  $M \otimes_R R/m \cong M/mM$ , so if  $M \otimes_R R/m = 0$ , then  $M = mM$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a (minimal) finite set of elements generating  $M$ .

Suppose  $M \neq 0$ , then  $x_n \in M = mM$ , so we have  $x_n = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$  for  $a_i \in m$ , and now

$$(1 - a_n)x_n = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1},$$

but  $1 - a_n$  is a unit, and because it maps to 1 in  $R/m$  so  $1 - a_n$  is not in  $m$ , and  $R$  is a local ring, so  $x_n$  is the linear combination of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ . But now we have a contradiction because  $n - 1$  elements can also generate the same set.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.28.** For any commutative ring  $R$  (not necessarily local), if  $M$  is a finitely-generated  $R$ -module, then  $M = 0$  if and only if  $M \otimes R/m = 0$  for every maximal ideal  $m \in R$ , if and only if  $M_m = 0$  for every maximal ideal  $m$ .

**Corollary 6.29.** Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated module over a local ring  $R$ , then elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  generate  $M$  as an  $R$ -module if and only if the images of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $M \otimes_R R/m$  span the vector space.

*Proof.* If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  generate  $M$  as an  $R$ -module, then the map  $R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$  is onto, so the associated map  $(R/m)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M \otimes_R R/m$  is onto.

Conversely, suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  span  $M \otimes_R R/m = M/mM$ . Define  $Q$  as the cokernel of  $R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ , the surjection  $M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  gives a surjection  $M/mM \rightarrow Q/mQ$  by tensoring  $R/m$  since  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  map to zero, then they map to zero in  $Q/mQ$ . We know  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  span  $M/mM$ , so they span  $Q/mQ$ , and  $Q/mQ = 0$ , then  $Q = 0$  by Nakayama Lemma.  $\square$

**Example 6.30.**  $Q$  is a module over local ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  and  $Q/2Q = 0$  but  $Q \neq 0$ . Note that Nakayama lemma doesn't work because the module  $M$  is not finitely-generated.

## 7 NOETHERIAN RINGS

Noetherian rings is a large category of rings, including all finitely-generated algebras over a field.

**Definition 7.1.** A ring  $R$  is Noetherian if every increasing sequence of ideals eventually terminates, known as the ascending chain condition.

A ring  $R$  is Artinian if it satisfies the descending chain condition, i.e. every decreasing sequence of ideals eventually terminates.

**Lemma 7.2.** For any ring  $R$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $R$  is Noetherian.
2. Every ideal in  $R$  is finitely-generated.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose  $R$  satisfies ACC,  $I \subseteq R$  is a non-finitely-generated ideal, then  $I \neq 0$  so we can pick  $x_1 \in I$  and  $(x_1) \subsetneq I$ , and  $x_2 \in I \setminus (x_1)$ , and so on, then we get an ascending chain  $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2, x_3) \subsetneq \cdots$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose all ideals are finitely-generated and consider  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$ , then  $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  is an ideal, and  $J$  is finitely-generated, then  $I_N = J$ , so ACC condition satisfies.  $\square$

**Example 7.3.** 1. Fields are Noetherian and Artinian.

2.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Noetherian but not Artinian.
3. Every Artinian ring is Noetherian.

Note that if  $R$  is domain, then the fractional field of  $R$  is Noetherian. But a subring of a Noetherian ring need not be Noetherian.

**Lemma 7.4.** Any quotient ring  $R/I$  of a Noetherian ring  $R$  is Noetherian. Similar fact holds for Artinian rings.

*Proof.* Follows from the correspondence of ideals in  $R/I$  with those in  $R$  containing  $I$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.5.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  satisfies ACC for  $R$ -submodules if every increasing sequence of  $R$ -submodules terminates. In particular,  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if  $R$  as an  $R$ -module satisfies ACC for  $R$ -submodules.

**Lemma 7.6.** A short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  has  $B$  satisfies ACC for  $R$ -submodules if and only if  $A$  and  $C$  satisfies ACC for  $R$ -submodules.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Note that submodules of  $A$  are also submodules of  $B$ , and similarly submodules of  $C$  are also submodules of  $B$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be any sequence of submodules of  $B$ . Now the intersections  $M_1 \cap A \subseteq M_2 \cap A \subseteq \cdots$  terminates, and so there exists  $s$  such that  $M_s \cap A = M_{s+1} \cap A$  by the ACC condition for  $A$ , and now we know that  $M_1/M_1 \cap A \subseteq M_2/M_2 \cap A \subseteq \cdots$  terminates at some  $t$  by the ascending chain condition. Let  $N$  be the maximal of  $s$  and  $t$ , then we know the chain terminates at such  $t$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.7.** Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated module over Noetherian ring  $R$ . Then every  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is finitely-generated and  $M$  satisfies ACC.

*Proof.* Let us show  $M$  satisfies ACC, then finitely-generated follows from the same argument as for ideals. Since  $M$  is finitely-generated as an  $R$ -module, then there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $R^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow M$ . It is enough to show that  $R^{\oplus n}$  satisfies ACC, which holds by building it through exact sequences by induction from  $R$  itself, which satisfies ACC as a  $R$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.8.** The localization of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.

*Proof.* Any ideal  $I \subseteq R[S^{-1}]$  can be written as  $JR[S^{-1}]$  for some ideal  $J$  in  $R$ , note that  $J$  does not have to be unique.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.9** (Hilbert Basis Theorem). If  $R$  is Noetherian,  $R[x]$  is also Noetherian.

*Proof.* We will show that every  $I \subseteq R[x]$  is finitely-generated. For each  $j \geq 0$ , define  $I_j = \{a \in R : \text{there exists an element of } I \text{ with degree at most } j\}$ . Now  $I_j$  is an ideal. Moreover,  $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R$  with multiplication by  $x$ , then this process terminates, so there exists some  $N$  such that  $I_N = I_{N+1} = \cdots$ , and since  $R$  is Noetherian, then each  $I_j$  is finitely-generated, so  $I_j = (f_{j,k})$  for  $j = 0, \dots, N$ . By definition of  $I_j$ , can choose  $g_{j,k} \in I$  with degree of  $g_{j,k}$  at most  $j$ , and the coefficients of  $x^j$  in  $g_{j,k}$  is  $f_{j,k}$ . It suffices to prove the following claim:

**Claim 7.10.** These elements generate  $I$  in  $R[x]$ .

We can use induction to prove this, on degree of elements in  $I$ , so it suffices to show that for any  $h \in I$  of degree  $d$ , we can find a  $R[x]$ -linear combination of  $g_{j,k}$ 's such that  $h$  subtracting the linear combination has degree less than  $d$ . This means we can eventually get down to zero. Just look at the leading coefficient  $a$  of  $h$ , it is in  $I_d$ , so if  $0 \leq d \leq N$ , then  $a$  is a  $R$ -linear combination of  $f_{j,k}$ , so it form the corresponding linear combination of  $g_{j,k}$ . If  $d > N$ , then  $a \in I_d = I_N$  so  $a$  is a  $R$ -linear combination of  $f_{N,k}$ , then  $h - x^{d-N} \times$  corresponding linear combination of  $g_{N,k}$  is of lower degree.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.11.**  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is Noetherian.

**Remark 7.12.** Every ideal in  $K[x]$  is a principal ideal, but there is no upper bound for the number of generators required in  $K[x, y]/\cdot$ .

**Corollary 7.13.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, and  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra of finite type. Then  $A$  is Noetherian. In particular,  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  is Noetherian.

**Example 7.14.** 1.  $K[x]_{(x)}$ , being a localization of  $K[x]$ , is Noetherian. But if  $K$  is infinite, then  $K[x]_{(x)}$  is not finitely-generated over  $K[x]$  as an algebra.

2. If  $R$  is Noetherian, so is  $R[[x]]$ .



3. Let  $U(D)$  be the set of holomorphic functions  $f$  on open disk  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is not Noetherian, despite being a subring of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ .

Indeed, pick infinite set of points in  $D$ , given by  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ , and consider the ideals of functions vanishing on  $\{z_1, \dots\}$ ,  $\{z_2, \dots\}$ ,  $\{z_3, \dots\}$ ,  $\dots$ .

4.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Noetherian, not an algebra over a field.

## 8 PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION

Recall that commutative rings do not always admit a unique factorization of ideals, only UFDs do. We now look at a generalized form of unique factorization of ideals.

**Definition 8.1.** An ideal  $p$  in a ring  $A$  is primary if  $p \neq A$  and  $xy \in p$  implies either  $x \in p$  or  $y^n \in p$  for some  $n > 0$ .

Equivalently,  $p$  is primary if and only if  $A/p \neq 0$  and every zero-divisor in  $A/p$  is nilpotent.

**Remark 8.2.** A prime ideal in a ring  $A$  is in some sense a generalization of a prime number. The corresponding generalization of a power of a prime number is a primary ideal.

Obviously, every prime ideal is primary.

**Proposition 8.3.** Let  $p$  be a primary ideal in ring  $A$ , then  $\text{rad}(p)$  is the smallest prime ideal containing  $p$ .

**Proposition 8.4.** If  $\text{rad}(a)$  is a maximal ideal, then  $a$  is a primary ideal. In particular, the powers of a maximal ideal  $m$  are  $m$ -primary.

We try to study presentations of an ideal as an intersection of primary ideals.

**Lemma 8.5.** The intersection of finitely many  $p$ -primary ideals is  $p$ -primary.

**Lemma 8.6.** Let  $q$  be  $p$ -primary, and  $x \in A$ . Then

1. if  $x \in q$ , then  $q/(x) = (1)$ .
2. if  $x \notin q$ , then  $q/(x)$  is  $p$ -primary, and therefore  $\text{rad}(q/(x)) = p$ .
3. if  $x \notin p$ , then  $q/(x) = q$ .

**Definition 8.7.** A primary decomposition of an ideal  $a$  in  $A$  is an expression of  $a$  as a finite intersection of primary ideals, i.e.,  $a = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$ . If moreover we have  $\text{rad}(q_i)$  are all distinct and that  $q_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} q_j$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then the primary decomposition given above is said to be minimal.

We say  $a$  is decomposable if it has a primary decomposition.

**Theorem 8.8** (First Uniqueness Theorem). Let  $a$  be decomposable and let  $a = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$  be a minimal primary decomposition. Let  $p_i = \text{rad}(q_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $p_i$ 's are precisely the prime ideals which occur in the set of ideals  $\text{rad}(a/(x))$  for  $x \in A$ , and hence are independent of the particular decomposition of  $a$ .

**Remark 8.9.** The prime ideals  $p_i$ 's are said to be associated with  $a$ . Therefore,  $a$  is primary if and only if it has a unique associated prime ideal.

The minimal elements of  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  are called minimal prime ideals belonging to  $a$ .

**Proposition 8.10.** Let  $a$  be a decomposable ideal, then any prime ideal  $p \supseteq a$  contains a minimal prime ideal belonging to  $a$ , and thus the minimal prime ideals of  $a$  are precisely the minimal elements in the set of all prime ideals containing  $a$ .

**Proposition 8.11.** Let  $a$  be decomposable, and suppose  $a = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$  is a minimal prime decomposition, and define  $p_i = \text{rad}(q_i)$ . Now  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i = \{x \in A : a/(x) \neq a\}$ .

**Theorem 8.12** (Second Uniqueness Theorem). Let  $a$  be decomposable and suppose  $a = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$  is a minimal prime decomposition, let  $\{p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n}\}$  be a minimal set of prime ideals of  $a$ , then  $q_{i_1}, \dots, q_{i_n}$  is independent of the decomposition.

**Corollary 8.13.** The minimal prime components (i.e., the primary components corresponding to minimal prime ideals) are uniquely determined by  $a$ .

We now study the decomposition of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  in particular.

**Theorem 8.14.** Let  $R$  be Noetherian, then  $X = \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  can be written as  $X = x_1 \cup \dots \cup x_m$  with each  $x_i$  an irreducible subset, and no  $x_i \subseteq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to ordering of  $x_i$ 's.

*Proof.* Any closed set in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is of the form  $V(I)$ . There is an one-to-one correspondence:  $V(I)$  sends maximal ideals to closed points, sends prime ideals to irreducible closed subsets, and send radical ideals to closed subsets.

The correspondence makes the above equivalent to the following theorem. □

**Theorem 8.15.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a Noetherian ring. Then  $I$  satisfies  $\mathbf{rad}(I) = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_m$  such that  $P_i$  contains  $I$  and  $P_i \subsetneq P_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . This decomposition is unique up to reordering of ideals.

*Proof.* Existence: since  $A$  is Noetherian, there is no infinite strictly descending chain of closed subsets of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . If  $X$  cannot be written as in the theorem,  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $X$  is not irreducible, so we can write  $X = X_1 \cup Y_1$  and by induction we get an infinite chain of closed subsets, contradiction. Thus,  $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_m$ .

Each of the  $X_i$ 's is called an irreducible component of  $X$ .  $\square$

Any subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by any collection of polynomials  $f_i$ 's has only finitely many irreducible components. Note that this does not work for analytic functions, like trigonometric functions.

$\mathbb{C}^n$  is the set of closed points in  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ . In a Noetherian ring  $R$ , a radical ideal  $I$  is the intersection  $I = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$  of finitely many prime ideals with the corresponding irreducible closed sets  $V(I) = V(P_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(P_r)$ .

**Example 8.16.** We can prove that every prime ideal has a minimal prime ideal containing in it. That means for  $I \subseteq P$ , we have  $V(I) \supseteq V(P)$  is an irreducible component of  $V(I)$ .

**Example 8.17.** What are the ideals  $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  whose radical is  $(x, y)$ ? We will have  $I \subseteq (x, y)$ . We can show that  $(x, y)^N \subseteq I \subseteq (x, y)$ . Here  $(x, y)^N = (x^N, x^{N-1}y, \dots, xy^{N-1}, y^N)$ .

**Example 8.18.** Let  $N \geq 1$ , and let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}\{x^N, x^{N-1}y, \dots, y^N\} \cong \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  and let  $I = V + (x, y)^{N+1}$ , then  $I$  is an ideal with  $\text{rad}(I) = (x, y)$  but for distinct  $V$ 's we get distinct  $I$ 's.

**Theorem 8.19.** For any ideal  $I$  in a Noetherian ring, there is an  $N$  such that  $\text{rad}(I)^N \subseteq I \subseteq \text{rad}(I)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show the first inclusion. For any  $x \in \text{rad}(I)$  there is a positive integer  $N$  with  $x^N \in I$  and since  $R$  is Noetherian, then  $\text{rad}(I) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . We can choose  $N_0$  such that  $x_i^{N_0} \in I$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Take  $N = mN_0$  so any product of  $N$  of the generators of  $\text{rad}(I)$  (with repetition allowed) is in  $I$ , because  $\text{rad}(I)^N$  is generated by such products.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.20.** Let  $M$  be a nonzero module over a Noetherian ring, then there is an element  $x \in M$  with  $x \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ann}_R(x)$  as a prime ideal.

*Proof.* Consider the poset of all ideals in  $R$  of the form  $\text{Ann}_R(x)$  for  $x \in M$  and  $x \neq 0$ . By Zorn's lemma, we can show that  $S$  has a maximal element. Note that  $S \neq \emptyset$  since there is some  $x \neq 0$  in  $M$ . For a nonempty totally ordered set  $C \neq \emptyset$ , we can show that there is an upper bound, which is contained in the set. If not, we can choose  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \cdots$  in  $C$ , contradiction. By Zorn's lemma, poset has maximal  $I = \text{Ann}_R(x_0)$  with  $0 \neq x_0 \in M$ . We claim that  $I$  is prime. Note that  $1 \notin I$  since  $1 \cdot x_0 = x_0 \neq 0$ . Suppose  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I$

and  $a, b \notin I$ . Then  $abx_0 = 0$  but  $ax_0 \neq 0$ , so  $J = \text{Ann}_R(ax_0)$  contains the strictly smaller ideal  $I$  (since  $b \in J$ ), contradicting the maximality of  $I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.21.** Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated module over a Noetherian ring  $R$ , then there is a finite sequence of  $R$ -submodules

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_r = M$$

such that each quotient  $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/p_i$ , for  $p_i \subseteq R$  prime ideals.

*Proof.* If  $M = 0$  then we are done.

If  $M \neq 0$ , find  $x$  as in the lemma above, so  $\text{Ann}_R(x) = p_1$  prime, then  $M_1 = R \cdot x \subseteq M$  satisfies  $M_1 \cong R/p_1$ . If this quotient is not 0, then we can repeat the process and find  $p_2, p_3$ , and so on, that satisfies the isomorphism relation. If this process do not stop, we have a contradiction because we then have infinite ascending submodule chain.  $\square$

**Example 8.22.** This decomposition is not unique. Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is already  $\mathbb{Z}/(0)$  or  $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 = M$  for  $M_1 = 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 8.23.** The support of  $R/p$  is the set  $\{I \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid (R/p)_I \neq 0\}$ , which is equivalent to the set  $V(P) \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ .

Also, if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then the support of  $B$  is the union of support of  $A$  and of  $C$  over  $R$ . This is because the localization is exact.

**Example 8.24.** Suppose  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ .  $M$  is of the form  $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)^{2\mathbb{Z}}$  where the notation means  $M$  is an extension, i.e. there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ . However, this extension is not unique.

The support of  $M$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is just  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## 9 HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

**Definition 9.1** (Chain Homotopy). A chain homotopy  $F$  between two chains  $f, g : M \rightarrow N$  is a collection of maps  $F : M_i \rightarrow N_{i+1}$  such that  $dF + Fd = g - f$ . If such homotopy exists, we write  $f \sim g$ .

Note that if  $f \sim g$ , then  $f = g$  as two maps between homology groups:  $H_i(M) \rightarrow H_i(N)$ .

**Definition 9.2.** Suppose  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a chain map for which  $g : N_* \rightarrow M_*$  exists such that  $fg \sim 1_{M_*}$  and  $gf \sim 1_{N_*}$ . Then we say  $f$  and  $g$  is a chain homotopy equivalence, and induces an isomorphism on homology groups.

**Remark 9.3.** Every  $R$ -module has a (non-unique) resolution in fact a free module.

**Example 9.4.** For any ring  $R$ , any non-zero-divisor  $f \in R$ , the  $R$ -module  $R/(f)$  has a projective resolution of length 1, i.e.

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow R/(f) \rightarrow 0$$

and given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

This chain map induces a homology, but not a chain homotopy equivalence unless  $M$  is projective.

**Lemma 9.5.** Any two projective resolution  $P$  and  $Q$  are chain homotopy equivalent.

**Definition 9.6** (Derived Functor). Let  $F : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$  be a right exact additive functor (for example, the tensor functor  $M \mapsto M \otimes_R S$  given by a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$ ).

The (left) derived functors of  $F$  are a sequence of functors  $F_i : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$  given an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Choose  $P \rightarrow M$ . Let  $F_i(M) = H_i(F(P))$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Note that  $F_0(M) = F(M)$ .

This gives a correspondence between  $R$ -modules  $\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $S$ -modules  $F(P_2) \rightarrow F(P_1) \rightarrow F(P_0) \rightarrow 0$ .

For commutative ring  $R$ , and  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$ -modules.

$\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$  is the  $i$ th derived functor of  $M \mapsto M \otimes_R N$  for a fixed  $R$ -module  $N$  (for commutative rings  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = \mathbf{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ ).

If  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then there is a corresponding long exact sequence

$$\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(M_1, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tor}_1^R(M_2, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tor}_1^R(M_3, N) \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_R N \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_R N \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

Note that  $\mathbf{Tor}$  is a homology type functor, which is why it has the subscript.

To show that the left derived functors are well-defined, use the fact that any two resolutions  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $M$  are chain homotopy equivalent and the fact that chain homotopies are preserved by additive functors. Therefore, we have a chain homotopy equivalence  $F(P) \rightarrow F(Q)$ .

**Example 9.7** (Computations with **Tor**). As for any derived functor,  $\mathbf{Tor}_0^R(M, N) \cong M \otimes_R N$ .

1. If  $M$  is projective,  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .
2. If  $N$  is flat,  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .
3. For  $f \in R$  not a zero divisor, then

$$\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(R/(f), N) = \begin{cases} 0, & i > 1 \\ N/fN, & i = 0 \\ N[f] = \{x \in N, fx = 0\}, & i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Use complex  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow R/(f) \rightarrow 0$  and the tensor functor  $- \otimes_R N$  on  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, **Tor** is related to torsion.

**Example 9.8.** **Ext** is a cohomology-like functor, hence superscript.

$\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  are the derived functors  $\mathbf{Hom}_R(\cdot, N) : R\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow (R\mathbf{-Mod})^{\text{op}}$ , a contravariant functor.

To compute, let  $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$  be a projective resolution, the  $\mathbf{Ext}_R^*(M, N)$  is the cohomology of the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R(P_0, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R(P_1, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We say this is a cochain because the numbering is ascending.

By computation, we always have  $\mathbf{Ext}_R^0(M, N) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N)$ .

1. If  $M$  is projective,  $\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = \mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N)$  with  $i = 0$  and 0 if  $i > 0$ .
2. For  $f \in R$ , a non-zero-divisor, then using  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(R/(f), N) = \begin{cases} 0, & i > 1 \\ N[f], & i = 0 \\ N/fN, & i = 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $N[f] = \{x \in N, fx = 0\}$ . Therefore, this is analogous to Poincare duality. We have  $H_i(S^{-1}) \cong H^{i-1}(S^1)$ .

**Remark 9.9** (General result on derived functor). Given right exact  $F : (R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow (S\text{-Mod})$  and additive. If  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is exact, we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 C \rightarrow F_1 A \rightarrow F_1 B \rightarrow F_1 C \rightarrow F_0 A \rightarrow F_0 B \rightarrow F_0 C \rightarrow 0$$

which follows from snake lemma.

**Example 9.10.** If  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence, get long exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{Tor}^R(M_2, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tor}_1^R(M_3, N) \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_R N \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_R N \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R(M_3, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R(M_2, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R(M_1, N) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ext}_R(M_3, N) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 9.11.**  $\mathbf{Ext}$  is related to extensions of  $R$ -modules. Given any  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ ,  $\mathbf{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  is isomorphic set of “extensions”  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules up to isomorphism. Two extensions are isomorphic if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & x_1 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & x_2 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Higher  $\mathbf{Ext}$  groups, do something related to classifying exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X_y \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

**Theorem 9.12.** For a commutative ring  $R$ ,  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$  can be computed using instead projective resolutions of  $N$ , in fact flat resolutions of  $N$ , that is,

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with  $F_i$  flat.

$\mathbf{Tor}^R(M, N)$  are the homology of the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow M \otimes_R F_1 \rightarrow M \otimes_R F_0 \rightarrow 0$$

**Corollary 9.13.** 1.  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \cong \mathbf{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$  uses  $M \otimes_R N = N \otimes M$ .

2. Could use flat resolution of  $M$  as well get long exact sequence too.

**Lemma 9.14.** Free modules and projective modules are flat.

*Proof.* Suppose  $F$  is free, so  $F \cong R^I$  for some  $I$ . Consider  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $L \otimes_R F \rightarrow M \otimes_R F \rightarrow N \otimes_R F \rightarrow 0$  is isomorphic to  $0 \rightarrow L^I \rightarrow M^I \rightarrow N^I \rightarrow 0$ , since the tensor product commutes with the coproducts and that  $N \otimes_R R \cong N$ . Now, suppose  $P$  is projective, being projective means that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ \swarrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with bottom row exact, the map  $P \rightarrow N$  has a factorization through  $M$ . If we take  $N = P$  and  $M$  as a free module, we can see that  $P$  is therefore a retraction of a free module. Therefore, we conclude that projectives are summands of free modules. The converse is true as well.

Therefore,  $P \rightarrow F \rightarrow P$  is the identity, so  $- \otimes F \cong (- \otimes P) \oplus (- \otimes P')$  and tensoring with  $P$  is exact.  $\square$

Given a short exact sequence  $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , we have a right exact sequence  $L \otimes X \rightarrow M \otimes X \rightarrow N \otimes X \rightarrow 0$ . We would like to continue the sequence to the left, i.e. exactness at  $L \otimes X$ . Therefore, we want a functor  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(-, X)$  so that we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{Tor}_1^R(L, X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Tor}_1^R(M, X) \longrightarrow L \otimes X \longrightarrow M \otimes X \longrightarrow N \otimes X \longrightarrow 0$$

If  $X$  is flat we could make this exact sequence just by declaring that all the higher Tors are zero, so we declare that this is so.

We want to compute  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(N, X)$ , we can choose generators for  $N$  to get an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ . Using the long exact sequence, we see  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(N, X) = \ker(R^\oplus \otimes X \rightarrow K \otimes X)$  and for  $i > 1$  that  $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(N, X) = \mathbf{Tor}_{i-1}^R(K, X)$ .

**Lemma 9.15.** Suppose that  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence and that  $0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R X \rightarrow X \otimes X/2X \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Then  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(R/I, X) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Take the long exact sequence.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.16.** Let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module. The following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is flat.
2. For any  $R$ -modules  $N' \subseteq N$  and exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N$ , the map  $N' \otimes_R X \rightarrow N \otimes_R X$  is injective.



3. For any finitely-generated  $R$ -modules  $N' \subseteq N$ , the map  $N' \otimes_R X \rightarrow N \otimes_R X$  is injective.
4. For any ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , the map  $I \otimes_R X \rightarrow R \otimes_R X$  is injective.
5. For any finitely-generated ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , the map  $I \otimes_R X \rightarrow X$  is injective.

*Proof.* We have (1)  $\iff$  (2), (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4), (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5), (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5).

We need to show (3) implies (2) and (5) implies (4), which were proved in the lemma above. If something is in the kernel of the map  $N' \otimes_R X \rightarrow N \otimes_R X$ , we can check it is zero by looking at finitely-generated submodules.

We can also show that (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Note that  $N$  is finitely-generated, and therefore  $N_0 = N' \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_k = N$  where  $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R/I_i$ . We can assume that for some  $j \leq k$ , we have  $N_j = N_k$ . The map  $N' \otimes_R X \rightarrow N \otimes_R X$  is injective if and only if for every  $i$  we have  $N_i \otimes_R X \rightarrow N_{i+1} \otimes_R X$  is injective.

Let us consider the exact sequence  $N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow R/I$  and part of the Tor exact sequence  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(R/I, X) \rightarrow N_{i-1} \otimes X \rightarrow N_i \otimes X \rightarrow R/I \otimes X \rightarrow 0$ , so since  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(R/I, X) = 0$ , we have that  $N_{i-1} \otimes X \rightarrow N_i \otimes X$  is injective and  $X$  is flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.17.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is flat if and only if for all finitely-generated ideals  $I$  of  $R$ , we have that  $\mathbf{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$ .

**Proposition 9.18** (The equational criterion for flatness). An  $R$ -module  $X$  is flat if and only if for every relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i$  with  $r_i \in R$  and  $x_i \in X$ , there exists  $y_1, \dots, y_k \in X$  and  $a_{ij} \in R$  with  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} y_j$  for all  $i$  and for all  $j$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_{ij} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is flat and that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = 0$ . Consider the ideal  $I = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  and the map  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ . Consider also the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \otimes y_i$  is in the kernel of  $I \otimes_R X \rightarrow R \otimes_R X$ . But this tells us there is some  $k \in K \otimes_R X$  with  $k$  hitting  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes x_i$ , we can write  $k$  as  $k = \sum_j k_j \otimes y_j$  and  $k_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} e_i$ .

For the other direction, let  $I$  be a finitely-generated ideal and suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \otimes x_i$  is in the kernel of  $I \otimes_R X \rightarrow R \otimes_R X$ . We want to show that the kernel is trivial. As  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = 0$  in  $M$ , we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \otimes x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i \otimes (\sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} y_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n f_i a_{ij} \otimes y_j = 0.$$

□

We can therefore conclude that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is flat as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

If  $A$  is any torsion group and  $D$  is any divisible group, then  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} D = 0$ . The argument just needs every element of  $D$  to have finite order, so we can in fact see that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$ , and therefore  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is not flat.

**Corollary 9.19.** A  $R$ -module  $X$  is flat if and only if for any map  $f : R^n \rightarrow X$  and  $x \in \ker(f)$ , there is a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ h \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ R^k & & \end{array}$$

with  $x \in \ker(h)$ .

*Proof.* This is just the equational criteria for flatness. An element  $x \in \ker(f)$  gives a relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = 0$ . The  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  gives us a map  $R^k \rightarrow X$ . The map  $h : R^n \rightarrow R^k$  is given by the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , where  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} y_j$ . This equation tells us that the diagram commutes. □

By the universal property of  $\otimes_R$ ,  $\mathbf{Hom}_R(A \otimes_R B, C) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_R(A, \mathbf{Hom}_R(B, C))$  gives the tensor-hom adjunction.

Here  $-\otimes_R B$  is the functor within the category of  $R$ -modules, and the hom functor  $\mathbf{Hom}_R(B, -)$  is the usual hom functor.

Recall that left adjoints preserve all colimits in the domain category, and the right adjoints preserve all limits.

**Example 9.20.**  $-\otimes_R B$  preserves all direct sums, direct limits, and right exact sequences.

A fact is that homology commutes with direct limits of chain complexes. Therefore, we now know that **Tor** commutes with direct limits in each variable.

## 10 INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

**Definition 10.1.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be a subring, we say  $x \in B$  is integral over  $A$  if it satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $A$ , i.e.  $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$  for  $a_i \in A$ .

**Example 10.2.** For a number field  $K$ , i.e. a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the set of elements in  $K$  integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is called the ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq K$ .

In particular, for  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 10.3.** The following are equivalent.

1.  $x \in B$  is integral over  $A$ .
2. The  $A$ -subalgebra  $C$  of  $B$  generated by  $x$  is finite over  $A$ , i.e. finitely-generated as  $A$ -module.
3. The  $A$ -subalgebra  $C$  of  $B$  generated by  $x$  is contained in some finite  $A$ -algebra  $D \subseteq B$ .
4. There is a faithful  $C$ -module  $M$  which is finitely-generated as an  $A$ -module.

*Proof.* Note that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is true as we view  $D$  as a  $C$ -module. It is faithful because  $1 \in D$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Given  $C, M$  as above,  $M$  is finitely generated by  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  as an  $A$ -module. We can choose  $a_{ij} \in A$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  such that  $xm_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}m_j \in M$ . Then the matrix  $Y = (y_{ij})$  with coefficients in  $C$  given by  $Y = x \cdot I - (a_{ij})$  satisfies

$$Y \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \in M^{\oplus n}$$

For a matrix  $Y$  over any commutative ring, the adjugate matrix  $\mathbf{adj}(A)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{adj}(Y) \cdot Y = Y(\mathbf{adj}(Y)) = \det(Y)$ . We multiply equation above by  $\mathbf{adj}(Y)$ , then we see  $\det(Y) \in C$  satisfies  $\det(Y) \cdot m = 0$ , so  $\det(Y)$  annihilates  $M$  and so  $\det(Y) = 0$ , otherwise  $M$  is not faithful. But  $\det(Y)$  is a monic polynomial in  $X$  with coefficients over  $A$ , so  $x \in B$  is integral over  $A$ .  $\square$

This lemma will imply if  $x, y \in B$  integral over  $A$ , then  $-x, x + y, xy$  are also integral over  $A$ . Hence, the set of elements in  $B$  integral over  $A$  is called the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ , which is a subring of  $B$  containing  $A$ .

**Lemma 10.4.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be a subring. Then the integral closure  $C$  of  $A$  in  $B$  is a subring.

*Proof.* Clearly  $A \subseteq C$  and  $0, 1 \in C$ . Consider  $A$ -submodule  $D$  generated by  $x$  and  $y$ . We claim that  $D$  is finite over  $A$ . This is true because  $D$  is generated by  $x^i y^j$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  for monic polynomials of degree  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively. Therefore, since  $-x, x + y, xy \in D$ , the lemma above gives that they are all in  $C$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.5.** The integral closure of  $C$  in  $B$  is  $C$ , i.e. integral closures are integrally closed.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in B$  is integral over  $C$ , then  $x$  satisfies some monic polynomial. Therefore,  $x$  is integral over  $A$ -subalgebra generated by  $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}$  and each  $c_i$  is finitely-generated, so  $x$  is contained in an  $A$ -subalgebra finite over  $A$ . Hence,  $x \in C$ .  $\square$

**Remark 10.6.** An integral algebra of finite type is a finite algebra.

**Corollary 10.7.** For rings  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  and suppose  $B$  is integral over  $A$  and  $C$  is integral over  $B$ , then  $C$  is integral over  $A$ .

**Corollary 10.8.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be rings and let  $C$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ , then  $C$  is integrally closed in  $B$ .

**Remark 10.9.** Localization preserves the integral property.

**Definition 10.10.** A domain  $R$  is normal if it is integrally closed in the field of fractions of  $R$ .

**Example 10.11.** For any number field  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is normal.

**Example 10.12.** A UFD is normal. Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and polynomial rings over  $K$  are normal.

**Remark 10.13.** In geometric terms, an algebraic variety  $X$  is normal if every finite birational morphism

$$Y \rightarrow X$$

is an isomorphism for variety  $Y$ . There is a corresponding map from the regular functions  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  to regular functions  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . There is an isomorphism between their fractional fields.

**Remark 10.14.** Suppose  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a map of rings. Then  $\otimes_R S$  as map from  $R$ -modules to  $S$ -modules is left adjoint to  $f^*$ , the map from  $S$ -modules to  $R$ -modules.

*Proof.* For an  $R$ -module  $A$  and an  $S$ -module  $B$ , we have  $\mathbf{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R S, B) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_R(A, f^*B)$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $R$  is a ring and  $M$  is flat, then  $M \otimes_R S$  is flat.

**Definition 10.15.** A number is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if it satisfies a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field, we can make this polynomial monic.

Any power of  $a$  can be written in terms of lower power of  $a$  and its inverse can be written as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of powers of  $a$ .

Note that we have  $\mathbb{Q}(a) = \mathbb{Q}[a]$ .

**Definition 10.16.** Suppose  $R \subseteq S$  is an inclusion of rings, and  $x \in S$  is integral over  $R$  is  $x$  satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $R$ .

**Definition 10.17.** We say  $R \subseteq S$  is an integral extension if every element of  $S$  is integral over  $R$ .

Note that field extensions are integral.

**Proposition 10.18.** Suppose we have rings  $R \subseteq S$  and  $x \in S$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $x \in S$  is integral over  $R$ .
2.  $R[x]$  is finitely-generated  $R$ -module.
3.  $R[x]$  is contained in a subring  $T$  of  $S$  that is finitely-generated as an  $R$ -module.
4. There is a faithful  $R[x]$ -module  $M$  (annihilator of  $M$  is 0) that is finitely-generated as an  $R$ -module.

**Definition 10.19.**  $R \rightarrow S$  is finite if  $S$  is finitely-generated as an  $R$ -module.

$R \rightarrow S$  is finite type if  $S$  is finitely-generated as an  $R$ -algebra.

**Corollary 10.20.** Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $S$  and  $R \subseteq S$ . Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are integral over  $R$ , then  $R \rightarrow R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is finite.

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . □

**Corollary 10.21.** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be an extension, then the set of elements that are integral over  $R$  form a subring.

*Proof.* If  $x, y$  are integral over  $R$ , then any element in  $R[x, y]$  is integral over  $R$ . □

If the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$  is  $S$ , then  $S$  is integral over  $R$  and we say  $R \subseteq S$  is an integral extension.

A map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is integral if  $S$  is integral over  $f(R)$ .

**Corollary 10.22.**  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is finite if and only if it is finite type and integral.

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ : Obvious.

$(\Leftarrow)$ : Suppose  $f(R) \subseteq S$  is an integral extension of finite type. Note that  $x_i$ 's are integral over  $f(R)$ , and  $S \cong f(R)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Therefore,  $f(R) \subseteq S$ . □

**Corollary 10.23.** If  $R \xrightarrow{f} S \xrightarrow{g} T$  is a composition of ring maps and  $f$  and  $g$  are integral, so  $g \circ f$  is integral.

**Corollary 10.24.** Consider  $R \subseteq S$  and  $T$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$ . Then  $T$  is integrally closed in  $S$ .

*Proof.* Look at  $R \rightarrow T \rightarrow T[x]$  for any  $x \in S$  that is integral over  $T$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.25.** Suppose  $R \rightarrow S$  is an integral extension. Then if  $I \subseteq R$  and  $J = I \cap S$ , then  $R/J \rightarrow S/I$  is integral, and  $(R \setminus J)^{-1}R \rightarrow (S \setminus I)^{-1}S$  is also integral.

*Proof.* Take  $x \in R$ , we write  $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$ .

Consider  $x/s \in S^{-1}R$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.26.**  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is finite if and only if  $B$  is finitely-generated  $A$ -module over  $f(A)$ .  $f$  is integral and of finite type if and only if  $B$  is finitely-generated  $A$ -algebra over  $f(A)$ . Note that the two terms themselves are also equivalent.

**Lemma 10.27.** Let  $C$  be integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . Then  $C[S^{-1}]$  is the integral closure of  $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}B$ .

**Corollary 10.28.** Let  $A$  be a domain. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $A$  is normal.
2.  $A_p$  is normal for every prime ideal  $p \subseteq A$ .
3.  $A_m$  is normal for maximal ideal  $m \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* Note that all these rings have the same fractional field.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from the lemma above.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): suppose  $A_m$  is normal for  $m \subseteq A$ . Obviously  $A \hookrightarrow C$  where  $C$  is the integral closure of  $A$ . This is surjective because  $A_m \hookrightarrow C_m$  is surjective for  $m \subseteq A$ .  $\square$

**Example 10.29.** For a number field  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is not a UFD in general. But localization of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  at maximal ideals are DVR, therefore, PID, UFD, and normal.

**Lemma 10.30.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be an integral extensions and let  $q \in \mathbf{Spec}(B)$ . Denote  $p = q \cap A \in \mathbf{Spec}(A)$ , then  $q$  is maximal if and only if  $p$  is maximal.

*Proof.* By the previous lemma,  $B/q$  is integral over  $A/p$ . Then we want to show if  $A \subseteq B$  are domains, and  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then we know  $B$  is a field if and only if  $A$  is a field.

Suppose  $A$  is a field, let  $y \in B$  be nonzero, then since  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then the element satisfies a monic polynomial in  $A[x]$ . Choose  $n > 0$  be minimal such that  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $B$  is a field, let  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\frac{1}{x} \in B$ , so  $\frac{1}{x}$  satisfies a monic polynomial over  $A$ . In particular,  $x^{-1} \in A$ .  $\square$

Note that for an integral ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{Spec}(B)$ , let  $p = f^{-1}(q)$  be in the spectral of  $A$ , then  $q$  is maximal if and only if  $p$  is maximal. Therefore, integral morphisms of affine schemes send closed points to closed points.

**Definition 10.31.** For an affine scheme  $X$  with data  $X$  and  $R$ . We write  $\mathcal{O}(X) = R$ , the ring of regular functions on  $X$ . Morphism of affine schemes correspond to ring homomorphism in the other direction. That is,  $X \rightarrow Y$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

**Example 10.32.**  $K \hookrightarrow K[x]$  is not finite, and the spectral map  $\mathbf{Spec}(K[x]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(K)$  sends generic points to closed point of  $R$ . Similarly this works on  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Corollary 10.33.** If  $A \subseteq B$  is an integral extension with  $q \subseteq q'$  prime in  $B$  such that  $q \cap A = q' \cap A$  in the spectral of  $A$ , then  $q = q'$  in the spectrum of  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = q \cap A = q' \cap A$ , since  $A \subseteq B$  is integral, then  $A_p \subseteq B_p$  is integral. Let  $m = pA_p$ , the maximal ideal of the local ring  $A_p$ , then define  $n = q \cdot B_p$ ,  $n' = q' \cdot B_p$ . Clearly  $n \subseteq n'$ . Moreover,  $n \cap A_p = n' \cap A_p = m$ . By the previous lemma, both  $n$  and  $n'$  are maximal in  $B_p$ . Therefore,  $n = n'$ . By the correspondence theorem,  $q = q'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.34.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be integral and  $p$  be integral in  $A$ . Then there is a prime  $q \in B$  with  $q \cap A = p$ . Therefore, the map  $\mathbf{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(A)$  is an onto map that sends  $q$  to  $q \cap A$ .

**Example 10.35.** Consider ring homomorphism  $k[t] \rightarrow k[t, t^{-1}]$ . Therefore is a correspondence between  $\mathbf{Spec}(k[t, t^{-1}])$  and  $\mathbf{Spec}(k[t])$ . But this is not a surjective map since  $k[t, t^{-1}]$  is not integral over  $k[t]$ , but its image is dense.

*Proof.* Since  $A \subseteq B$  is integral, then the localization satisfies  $A_p \subseteq B_p$  and is integral. We now have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \hookrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_p & \hookrightarrow & B_p \end{array}$$

and this is injective because localization is exact.  $A_p$  is local so  $A_p \neq 0$ , and so  $B_p \neq 0$ . Therefore, there is a maximal ideal  $n$  inside  $B_p$  whose pullback  $m = n \cap A_p$  must be maximal by the lemma. Therefore,  $m = pA_p$ . The one-to-one correspondence gives prime ideal in  $B$  that pulls back to  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.36.** Suppose that  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is an integral map, then the induced map on spectra is closed.

*Proof.* We can reduce to the case that  $f$  is an integral extension. We claim that for  $V(I) \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(C)$ , we have  $f^*(V(I)) = V(f^{-1}I)$ . We always have that  $f^*(V(I)) \subseteq V(f^{-1}I)$ . For the other inclusion, suppose  $p \in V(f^{-1}I)$ , then  $f^{-1}I \subseteq p$ , and we need to find some  $q \in \mathbf{Spec}(S)$  such that  $q \in V(I)$  and  $f^{-1}(q) = p$ . Consider the integral extension  $R/f^{-1}I \rightarrow S/I$ , there is a  $q \in \mathbf{Spec}(S)$  with  $I \subseteq q$  and  $f^{-1}(q) = p$ .  $\square$

We can reduce the case of going up to having  $p_0 \subseteq p_1 \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , and a  $q_0$  in  $\mathbf{Spec}(S)$  with  $q_0 \cap R = p_0$ . We want to find a  $q_1$  containing  $q_0$  and  $q_1 \cap R = p_1$ . Consider the integral extension  $R/p_0 \rightarrow S/p_0$ . Applying results above, the map gives a prime ideal  $q_1$  containing  $q_0$  and pull back to  $p_1$ .

**Proposition 10.37.** Suppose  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $B$  is a field if and only if  $A$  is a field.

**Theorem 10.38.** Let  $B/A$  be an integral extension and let  $p$  be a prime ideal of  $A$ . Then there exists a prime ideal  $q$  of  $B$  such that  $q \cap A = p$ .

**Theorem 10.39** (Going-up Theorem). Suppose  $B/A$  is integral, and let  $p_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq p_n$  be a chain of prime ideals of  $A$ , and  $q_1 \subseteq q_m$  ( $m < n$ ) be a chain of prime ideals of  $B$  such that  $q_i \cap A = p_i$ , then the chain of  $q_i$ 's can be extended to a chain  $q_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq q_n$  such that  $q_i \cap A = p_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Definition 10.40.** A ring map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  has the going up property if for any prime ideals  $p_0 \subseteq p_1 \subseteq R$  and  $q_0 \subseteq S$  with  $f^{-1}q_0 = p_0$ , then there is a  $q_1$  containing  $q_0$  such that  $f^{-1}q_1 = p_1$ .

**Remark 10.41.** The going up property is equivalent to the following. For any chain of primes  $p_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq p_n$  in  $R$  and chain  $q_0 \subseteq q_m$  with  $0 \leq m < n$  with  $f^{-1}q_i = p_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , it can be extended to a chain of length  $n$  with  $f^{-1}q_i = p_i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Remark 10.42.** Going up is stable under composition.

**Definition 10.43.** For a topological space  $X$ , a point  $x \in X$  is a specialization of  $x' \in X$  and  $x'$  is a generalization of  $x$  if  $x \in \overline{\{x'\}}$ .

Therefore, for  $x, x' \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , we have that  $x$  is a specialization of  $x'$  if  $x \in V(p_{x'})$ , i.e.  $p_{x'} \subseteq p_x$ .

A subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is called specialization closed if all specializations of elements of  $Y$  are also in  $Y$ , i.e. if  $y \in Y$ , then  $\bar{y} \subseteq Y$  as well. Correspondingly, we define the term generalization closed. Therefore, closed subsets are specialization closed and open subsets are generalization closed.



**Definition 10.44.** A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is specializing if for any  $y$  a specialization of  $y' \in Y$  and  $x' \in X$  with  $f(x') = y'$ , there is a specialization  $x$  of  $x'$  with  $f(x) = y$ . (If  $f$  has the corresponding property for generalizations, the map is generalizing.)

**Proposition 10.45.** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed map of topological spaces. Then  $f$  is specializing.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y$  is a specialization of  $y'$  and  $f(x') = y'$  where  $x' \in X$ . Since  $f$  is closed, then  $f(\overline{x'})$  is closed, and  $\overline{y'} \subseteq f(\overline{x'})$ . Since  $y \in \overline{y'}$ , there is some  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) = y$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 10.46.** A map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  satisfies going up if and only if the induce map  $f : \mathbf{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is specializing.

**Lemma 10.47.** Suppose that  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a map of rings. Then the image of  $\mathbf{Spec}(S)$  in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is specialization closed if and only if the map itself is closed.

*Proof.* Clearly closed implies specialization closed. Suppose that the image is specialization closed. Replace  $R \rightarrow S$  by  $R/I \hookrightarrow S$ , so we can assume that the map  $f$  is injective. We claim that the map  $\mathbf{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  hits every minimal prime of  $R$ . If  $p \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is minimal, consider  $R_p \rightarrow S_p$ . Since  $p$  is minimal and so  $R_p$  is field. It is enough to show that  $S_p$  is not zero, according to the exactness of localization. Therefore, if the image of  $\mathbf{Spec}(S)$  in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is specializing, the image contains every minimal prime of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , therefore closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.48.** Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring map. The following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathbf{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is closed.
2.  $f$  has the going up property.
3. For any  $q \in \mathbf{Spec}(S)$  and  $f^{-1}(q) = p$  in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ , the map  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/q) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R/p)$  is surjective.

*Proof.* (2) implies (1): consider  $V(I) \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(S)$ . We want to show that the image of  $V(I)$  is closed in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . Consider  $R \xrightarrow{f} S \rightarrow S/I$ , it is enough to show that the image of  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is closed. Note that  $R \rightarrow S/I$  satisfies going up. We only need to show that the image of  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/I)$  in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is specialization closed. Since  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/I)$  is specialization closed and the map  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is specialization, so its image is also specialization closed.  $\square$

**Definition 10.49.** A domain is normal or integrally closed if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions. The normalization of a domain is its integral closure in its field of fractions.

**Example 10.50.** We have seen that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is normal. For  $K$  is a field,  $K[x]$  is normal. UFDs are normal.  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  is not normal.

Consider  $k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$ , then this is isomorphic to  $k[t^2, t^3]$  where  $y \mapsto t^3$  and  $x \mapsto t^2$ . The field of fractions is  $k(t) = k[t]$  since  $t$  is integral over  $k[t^2, t^3]$ , we see that the normalization of  $k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$  is  $k[\frac{y}{x}]$ .

This corresponds to  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(K[t^2, t^3])$  and resolve the cusp.

**Proposition 10.51.** For  $R \subseteq S$  set  $T$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$ . Then for any multiplicatively closed subset  $M$  of  $S$ , we have that  $M^{-1}T$  is in the integral closure of  $M^{-1}R$  in  $M^{-1}S$ .

*Proof.* We have  $M^{-1}R \rightarrow M^{-1}T$  is integral. If  $\frac{s}{m} \in M^{-1}S$  is integral over  $M^{-1}R$ , consider the equation  $(\frac{s}{m})^k + \frac{r_1}{m_1}(\frac{s}{m})^{k-1} + \cdots + \frac{r_k}{s_k} = 0$ . Multiply by  $(mm_1 \cdots m_k)^k$  to get that  $sm_1 \cdots m_k$  is integral over  $R$ . This implies  $sm_1 \cdots m_k \in T$  and  $\frac{s}{m} \in M^{-1}T$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 10.52.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then the following are equivalent.

1.  $R$  is normal.
2.  $A_p$  is normal for all  $p \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ .
3.  $A_m$  is normal for all maximal ideal  $m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the normalization of  $R$  in  $R_{(0)}$ . Moreover, note that the field of fractions of any of the localizations of  $R$  is just  $R_{(0)}$  again. So we are trying to show that  $R \rightarrow S$  is a surjective. By the previous theorem, we have that  $S_p$  is the normalization of  $R_p$  for every  $p$ . So we can use the fact that a map of rings is surjective if and only if it is locally surjective.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.53.** Let  $T$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$  and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$  and  $J = IT$ . Then the set of all elements of  $S$  satisfying a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $I$  is  $\sqrt{J}$ . We call this property of satisfying a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $I$  as being integral over  $I$ .

*Proof.* If  $x^n + j_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + j_n = 0$  with the  $j_i$ 's in  $I$ , we see that  $x^n \in J$ , so  $x \in \sqrt{J}$ . For the other direction, if  $x^n = \sum_{i=1}^k j_i x_i$  for  $j_i \in I$  and  $x_i \in T$ , we see that  $x^n \in R[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , which is a finitely-generated  $R$ -module and we see that  $x^n R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq IR[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . By Cayley-Hamilton theorem,  $x^n$  satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $I$ , so  $x$  does as well.  $\square$

Recall that  $K \subseteq L$  an extension of fields, we say that  $l \in L$  is algebraic over  $K$  if it is integral over  $K$ . Any such algebraic element satisfies a unique minimal polynomial, that is a monic polynomial of minimal degree.

**Proposition 10.54.** Suppose that  $R \subseteq S$  are domains with  $R$  normal and suppose that  $x \in S$  integral over  $I \subseteq R$ . Then  $x$  is algebraic over the fractional field of  $R$ , and the minimal polynomial over  $K$  has all coefficients in  $\sqrt{I}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$ , the fractional field of  $R$  is immediate. For the other claim, consider some extension of  $L$  that has all the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $x$ , i.e. the minimal polynomial of  $x$  splits in  $L$  as  $\prod_{i=1}^n (t - x_i)$ . Each of the  $x_i$ 's is integral over  $I$ , since the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of  $x$  are polynomials in  $x_i$ 's. We see that these are all integral over  $I$ , so the coefficients in  $\sqrt{I}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.55.** If  $R \rightarrow S$  is an inclusion of rings then  $p \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is in the image of  $\mathbf{Spec}(S)$  if and only if  $R \cap pS = p$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose  $R \cap pS = p$  and let  $T = R \setminus p$  in  $S$ , then  $pS$  does not intersect  $T$ , so looking at  $R_p \rightarrow S_p$ , we know  $pS_p$  is contained in some maximal ideal of  $S_p$ . Taking the pullback of this map, we get back to a prime in  $S$ , and it contains  $pS$  and it does not intersect with  $T$ . This pulls back  $p$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.56** (Going Down). Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an integral extension of domains where  $R$  is normal. The map  $\mathbf{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  is generalizing, in other words if there is  $p_0 \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$  of the form  $q_0 \cap R$  and  $p_0$  is a generalization of  $p_1$ , i.e.  $p_0 \in \bar{p}_1$ , or  $p_0 \supseteq p_1$ , then there exists a  $q_1 \in \mathbf{Spec}(S)$  with  $q_1 \cap R = p_1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{p_0} & \longrightarrow & S_{q_0} \end{array}$$

we need to show that  $p_1$  is the pullback of a prime in  $S_{q_0}$ . It is enough to show that the pullback of  $p_1 S_{q_0}$  to  $R$  is  $p_1$ . Every  $x \in p_1 S_{q_0}$  is of the form  $\frac{y}{t}$ , where  $y \in p_1 S$  and  $t \notin q$ . This  $y$  must be integral over  $p_1$  by the lemmas above. Therefore, we know that the minimal polynomial of  $y$  must have the form  $y^r + u_1 y_{r-1} + \cdots + u_n$  with  $u_i$ 's in  $p_1$ . Therefore, for  $x \in R \cap p_1 S_{q_0}$ , we have that  $t = \frac{y}{x}$  and the minimal polynomial for  $t$  over  $K$  is obtained by dividing the above minimal polynomial by  $x^n$ , we get that  $t^n + v_1 t^{r-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0$ ,

where  $v_i = \frac{u_i}{x_i}$ . We see that  $x^i v_i \in p_1$ . Since  $t$  is integral over  $R$ , each  $v_i$  is in  $R$  by the previous lemma. If  $x \notin p_1$ , then each  $v_i \in p_1$ , so  $t^n \in p_1 R \subseteq p_0 R \subseteq q_0$  and  $t \in q_0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 11 VALUATION RING

**Definition 11.1.** For  $R$  an integral domain with field of fractions  $K$ , we say that  $R$  is a valuation ring of  $K$  if for each nonzero  $x \in K$ , either  $x$  or  $x^{-1}$  are in  $R$ .

**Example 11.2.** Any field is a valuation ring. More interestingly,  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  is a valuation ring.

**Proposition 11.3.** Let  $R$  be a valuation ring of  $K$ . Then

1.  $R$  is a local ring.
2. If  $R \subseteq R' \subseteq K$ , then  $R'$  is a valuation ring.
3.  $R$  is normal.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $m$  be the set of non-units in  $R$ , so for  $x \in m$  either  $x = 0$  or  $x^{-1} \in R$ . For  $r \in R$  and  $x \in m$ , we have  $rx \in m$ , otherwise  $(rx)^{-1} \in R$  and  $r(rx)^{-1} = x^{-1} \in R$ . For  $x, y$  nonzero elements of  $m$ , either  $xy^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}y$  is in  $R$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $xy^{-1} \in R$ . Then  $(1 + xy^{-1})y \in m$ , so  $x + y \in m$ . We conclude that  $m$  is an ideal, so  $R$  is therefore local.

2. By definition.

3. Suppose that  $x \in K$  is integral over  $R$ , so  $x^n + r_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0$ . If  $x \in R$ , then we are done. If not, then  $x^{-1} \in R$ . Divide the equation by  $x^{n-1}$ , then  $x \in R$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.4** (Construction). For  $K$  a field and  $\Omega$  algebraically closed field, let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all pairs  $(R, f)$  where  $R$  is a subring of  $K$ , and  $f : R \rightarrow \Omega$  is a ring homomorphism. Put a partial order on  $\Sigma$  by inclusion and that the maps are compatible. Using Zorn's lemma, we know there is a maximal element  $S$  of  $\Sigma$ . We want to show that  $S$  with  $g : S \rightarrow \Omega$  is a valuation ring.

**Lemma 11.5.**  $S$  is local with maximal ideal  $m = \ker(g)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\ker(g)$  is prime. Extend  $g$  to a map  $S_m \rightarrow \Omega$  by sending  $\frac{s}{t} \mapsto \frac{g(s)}{g(t)}$ . By maximality, it follows that  $S_m = S$ , and so  $S$  is local.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.6.** For  $0 \neq x \in K$ , let  $m[x] = mS[x]$ , then either  $m[x] \neq S[x]$  or  $m[x^{-1}] \neq S[x^{-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the two equalities hold. Then we have that  $u_0 + u_1x + \cdots + u_mx^m = 1$ , and  $v_0 + v_1x^{-1} + \cdots + v_nx^{-n} = 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are as small as possible. Suppose  $m \geq n$  and multiply the equation by  $x^n$ . This gives  $(1 - v_0)x^n = v_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + v_n$ . Since  $v_0 \in m$ , we conclude that  $1 - v_0$  is a unit. Therefore, we can write this equation as  $x^n = w_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + w_n$  with  $w_i \in m$ . Therefore, we can rewrite the first equation using terms of lower degrees. This gives a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.7.**  $S$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ .

*Proof.* Given a nonzero  $x \in K$ , we need to show that either  $x \in S$  or  $x^{-1} \in S$ . Assume  $m[x]$  is not all of  $S[x] = S'$ , then it must be contained in a maximal ideal  $m'$ , and  $s \cap m' = m$ . Therefore,  $K = S/m \hookrightarrow S'/m' = K'$ . Note that  $K' = K[x]$ , and it is a field. Therefore,  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$ , and  $K'$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . There is an embedding of  $R/m$  into  $\Omega$ . Therefore, we can extend this into an embedding of  $K'$  into  $\Omega$ , since  $\Omega$  is algebraically closed. Then we can get a map  $S' \rightarrow \Omega$  extending that  $S \rightarrow \Omega$ , so we have  $S = S'$  and  $x \in S$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.8.** For  $R$  a domain the normalization of  $R = \bar{R}$  is the intersection of all valuation rings of  $K$  that contain  $R$ .

*Proof.* Any valuation ring contains the normalization since the valuation rings are integrally closed. Take some  $x \notin \bar{R}$ , then  $\bar{x} \notin R[x^{-1}]$  otherwise  $x$  would be integral over  $R$ , so  $x^{-1}$  is not a unit in  $R[x^{-1}]$ , and is therefore contained in some maximal ideal  $m'$ . Take  $\Omega$  to be the algebraic closure of  $R[x^{-1}]/m'$ , the restricting  $R$  to  $R[x^{-1}] \rightarrow R[x^{-1}]/m' \rightarrow \Omega$  gives a nonzero homomorphism of  $R$  into  $\Omega$ . We can extend this to some valuation ring  $S$  containing  $R$  and  $R[x^{-1}]$  since  $x^{-1}$  maps to zero in  $\Omega$ , so  $x$  is not contained in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.9.** Let  $R$  be a field and let  $f$  be a nonzero element of  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then there is an isomorphism  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\cong} k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  of  $k$ -algebras that  $f$  becomes a nonzero constant times a monic polynomial in  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . That is, for some  $d \geq 0$ ,  $f = cy_n^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})y_n^i$ .

**Remark 11.10.** Geometrically, given an hypersurface  $\{f = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$  and we can change coordinates so that the projection  $\mathbb{A}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$  given by  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  becomes a finite morphism.

**Example 11.11.** Let  $f = x_1x_2 - 1$ , then we have a morphism between affine spaces  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1x_2 - 1)$  sending  $\{f = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  from  $(x_1, x_2)$  to  $x_1$ . This is not finite, but the lemma tells us we can change the coordinates by taking  $x_1 = y_1 + y_2$  and  $x_2 = y_1 - y_2$ .  $f$  then becomes  $y_1 - y_2^2 - 1$ .

**Lemma 11.12** (Noether Normalization Lemma). Let  $R$  be a nonzero finitely-generated algebra over  $k$ . Then there is a natural number  $n$  and inclusion  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R$  such that  $R$  is finite over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

*Proof.* There is a surjection  $k[x_1, \dots, x_N] \twoheadrightarrow R$ . Suppose  $N$  is minimal with this property, we can prove by induction on  $N$ .

The base case is when  $N = 0$ , then we have  $k \twoheadrightarrow R$ , so either  $R = 0$  or  $R = k$ , either case the ring is finite over the polynomial ring.

To prove the inductive step. Let  $I = \ker(k[x_1, \dots, x_N] \twoheadrightarrow R)$ . If  $I = 0$ , then we are done. Otherwise, we pick nonzero element  $f$  of  $I$ . By the previous lemma, we change the coordinates of our  $N$  generators, can assume  $f = c(x_N^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})x_N^i)$  for  $c \neq 0$ . Note  $d > 0$  or else  $f$  is a unit.

Remove  $c$ , the elements are still in  $I$ . It follows that  $R$  is finite over subalgebra  $S = \text{Im}(k[x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}]) \subseteq R$ . By induction,  $S$  is finite over a polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq S$ . Therefore,  $R$  is also finite over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.13** (Geometric Translation). If  $X$  is a nonempty affine scheme of finite type over  $k$ , there is an  $n$  and a finite morphism of affine schemes  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$  that is surjective.

We already showed that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R$  is finite, and with a corresponding map  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ .

An affine scheme over a commutative ring  $A$  means an affine scheme  $X$  with a map  $\text{Spec}(B) = X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ , which corresponds to a ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$ .

**Corollary 11.14** (Weak Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let  $R$  be an algebra of finite type over  $K$ . If  $R$  is a field and  $R$  is finite over  $K$  (so  $R$  has finite dimension as a  $K$ -vector space).

*Proof.* By Noether Normalization Lemma, there is an inclusion  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R$  with  $R$  finite over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  since  $R$  is a field. Note  $(0) \subseteq R$  is a maximal ideal so its preimage is maximal, so  $K \hookrightarrow R$ , and therefore  $R$  is a finite  $k$ -algebra.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.15.** If  $K$  is an algebraically closed field, and any maximal ideal in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is of the form  $(x_1 - c_1, \dots, x_n - c_n)$  for some  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in K$ . Therefore, the set of all closed points are  $K^n$ .

*Proof.* Take  $m \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  maximal. Then  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m$  is a field, which is a  $k$ -algebra of finite type, hence finite over  $k$ . Thus,  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m = k$ . Therefore,  $x_i \mapsto c_i \in R$  gives the map  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m = k$ . We then have  $m = (x_1 - c_1, \dots, x_n - c_n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.16.** This corollary is not true for fields in general. For example,  $k^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$  mapping to closed points, but there are other closed points, e.g.  $(x^2 + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

**Definition 11.17** (Jacobson Radical). The Jacobson radical of a commutative ring  $R$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals in  $R$ . We showed that intersection of all prime ideals in  $R$  is nilradical. In general, Jacobson radical may be bigger, e.g. in most local rings.

**Example 11.18.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  is a domain, so the nilradical ideal is 0. But  $(2)$  is the only maximal ideal.

**Lemma 11.19.** Let  $R$  be an algebra of finite type over a field  $K$ . Then the Jacobson radical of  $R$  is the nilradical of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, the nilradical is contained in the Jacobson radical. Suppose  $f$  is in the Jacobson radical  $R$ . We want to show  $f$  belongs to every prime  $p$ . If we replace  $R$  by  $R/p$ , which is still algebra of finite type over a domain. Clearly  $f$  is contained in the nilradical ideal of the new  $R$  as it is still a domain. Suppose  $f \neq 0$ ,  $R[\frac{1}{f}] = \subseteq \mathbf{Frac}(R)$  is still of finite type. Now  $R[\frac{1}{f}] \neq 0$  because it contains a maximal ideal.

By the weak Nullstellensatz,  $R[\frac{1}{f}]/m$  is a field that is finite over  $K$ . Let  $n$  be the kernel of  $R \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{f}] \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{f}]/m$ , denoted  $g$ . The image of  $g$  is a domain, hence a field. Therefore,  $n$  is maximal with  $f \notin n$ , contradiction, so  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 11.20.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. The codimension of  $I \subseteq R$  is the supremum of length of all chains of primes contained in  $I$ :  $P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I$ . Geometrically, this is counting chains of irreducible closed subsets starting at  $V(p)$ .

**Lemma 11.21.** The codimension of  $p$  is the dimension of  $R_p$ .

**Example 11.22.** If  $R$  is a domain,  $(0)$  is a prime ideal of codimension 0. In this case,  $R_{(0)}$  is a field. Therefore, the dimension of  $R_{(0)} = 0$ .

If  $R$  is Noetherian normal domain and  $p \subseteq R$  is a codimension 1 prime ideal, then  $\dim(R_p) = 1$ , so  $R_p$  is a DVR.

**Example 11.23.** Let  $R$  be a UFD and  $f$  be an irreducible element, then  $(f)$  has codimension 1, i.e.  $(0 \subsetneq (f))$  is maximal chain) and  $R_{(f)}$  is a DVR.

This induces the discrete valuation.

Recall for a local Noether domain  $R$  of dimension 1,  $R$  is a DVR if and only if  $R$  is normal if and only if  $\dim(m/m^2) = 1$ . This structure  $m/m^2$  is called the Zariski cotangent space of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  at  $m$ .

**Example 11.24.** Denote  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $m = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $m/m^2$  is a  $K$ -vector space with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n \cong K^n$ . This is a cotangent space because elements of  $R$  are like functions, we modulo out by those that vanish in order 2.

Consider  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3)$ . Then  $m = (x, y)$ . Now  $\dim(m/m^2) = 1$  for ring not normal. One can check that  $m/m^2 = (x, y)/(x^2, xy, y^2) \cong K^2$ .

**Remark 11.25** (Dimension of a Polynomial Ring). We want to show that for a field  $K$  and  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\dim(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = n$ . Consider a finite extension  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq R$ , we showed that  $\mathbf{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  is finite and surjective. If we know  $\dim(\mathbb{A}_k^n) = n$ , then  $\dim(R) \geq n$ .

We now prove this statement. Look at chain of  $p_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i) \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , lift these to  $R$  using surjection. First lift  $p$  to  $q$  in  $R$ . Then  $A/p_0 \subseteq R/q_0$  and this inclusion is finite. Therefore, we get prime  $R/q_0, q_1/q_0$  mapping to  $p_1/p_0$ , and we can continue getting a chain of  $n$  ideals in  $R$ . If we have  $\dim(R) = n$ , then suppose there is a longer chain, then the inclusions remain strict in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by a previous lemma. Therefore, the chain has length at most  $n$ .

**Theorem 11.26.** For a field  $K$  and  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\dim(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = n$ .

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ . We already know that  $\dim(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \geq 0$  and  $\dim(K) = 0$ , and  $\dim(K[x]) = 1$ .

Consider  $P_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_r$  of length  $r$  in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $r \leq n$ . Here  $P_1 \neq 0$ , so we can pick  $f \neq 0$  in  $P_1$ . By the previous lemma, we can change variable so that  $f$  has highest order term to be  $ax_n^d$  for some  $a \in K$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f)$  is free on  $\{1, x_n, \dots, x_n^{d-1}\}$  as a module over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . So  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P_1$  is finite over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . By the proof of Noether normalization, we know  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P_1$  is finite over some subring of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  for  $s \leq n-1$  so  $\dim(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P_1) = s \leq n-1$ . By induction, we know  $\dim(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.27.** For  $R$  a domain of finite type over a field  $K$ ,  $\dim(R) = \text{trdeg}(\mathbf{Frac}(R)/K)$ .

**Definition 11.28.** Given  $F \subseteq E$  a finite extension and  $\text{trdeg}(E/F)$  is  $|I|$  where  $F \subseteq F(x_i) \subseteq E$  where  $i \in I$ , and the inclusion in  $E$  is algebraic.

Note that this is well-defined, as we can see by expressing  $R$  as finite extension of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and then take the fraction field.



**Proposition 11.29.** Let  $R$  be a UFD and  $f$  be irreducible in  $R$ . Then  $(f)$  is a codimension-1 prime ideal.

*Proof.*  $(f)$  is always prime for  $f$  irreducible in a UFD, and  $\text{codim}(f) \geq 1$  since  $(0) \subsetneq (f)$  has codimension 1. If not, get  $(0) \subsetneq q \subsetneq (f)$  where  $f \notin q$ . For  $g \in q$ ,  $g = fh$  for some  $h \in R$  since  $q$  is prime, so  $h \in q$ , then  $q = fq = f^2q = f^3q = \dots$ . Therefore, if  $g \in q$  is a multiple of  $f^r$  for any  $\geq 0$ , by the property of UFD, then  $g = 0$ , so  $q = 0$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.30** (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem). Let  $R$  be Noetherian and  $x \in R$ . Then every minimal prime ideal containing  $(x)$  has codimension at most 1.

Geometrically, for  $x \in R$ , the minimal primes containing  $(x)$  corresponds to irreducible components of  $\{x = 0\}$ . Therefore, the theorem says that all of the components have codimension at most 1.

**Remark 11.31.** This is not true for polynomial functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For example,  $\{x^2 + y^2 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  has codimension 2.

*Proof.* First reduce via localization. Let  $P$  be the minimal prime in  $R$  containing  $(x)$ . We want to show that the codimension of  $P$  is at most 1, or equivalently, that  $S = R_P$  has dimension at most 1. Here  $S$  is local, Noetherian, and  $x \in S$ , and  $m = pR_P \subseteq S$  is a minimal prime ideal containing  $(x)$ . In fact, this is the only one because  $m$  is maximal.

Equivalently,  $\sqrt{(x)} = m \subseteq S$ . If  $q \subsetneq m$  is prime, we need to show the codimension of  $q$  is 0. Note that if there is so such  $q$ , then we are done. We have  $\mathbf{Spec}(S/(x)) = \mathbf{Spec}(S/m)$ ,  $S/(x)$  is Noetherian has dimension 0, and therefore is Artinian. Therefore, the chain of descending ideals in  $S/(x)$  terminates:  $q(S/(x))^{(1)} \supseteq q(S/(x))^{(2)} \supseteq \dots$ . Therefore, consider in  $S$ , we have  $(x) + q^{(1)} \supseteq (x) + q^{(2)} \supseteq \dots$  terminates. Therefore, for some  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $q^{(n)} + (x) = q^{(n+1)} + (x)$ .

We now need to form sequence of symobolic power of  $q$ . For a prime ideal  $q$ , the  $n$ th symbolic power  $q^{(n)}$  of  $q$  is the inverse image under  $S \rightarrow S_q$  of  $q^n S_q$ . That is,  $f \in q^{(n)}$  if and only if  $f$  vanishes to order at least  $n$  at generic point of  $V(q)$ .

Recall  $\sqrt{(x)} = m$  which is strictly bigger than  $q$ , so  $x \notin q$ , so  $x$  maps to a unit in  $R_q$ . Thus, for any  $f \in q^{(n)}$ ,  $f = ax + g$ ,  $a \in S$ , and  $g \in q^{(n+1)}$ , therefore  $ax \in q^{(n)}$ , so  $ax \in q^n S_q$ , where  $x$  is a unit. Therefore,  $a \in q^n S_q$ , i.e.  $a \in q^{(n)} \subseteq S$ .

Since  $x \in m$ , this means  $q^{(n)}/(q^{(n+1)} + mq^{(n)}) = 0$ , i.e.  $[q^{(n)}/q^{(n+1)}] \otimes SS/m = 0$ . By Nakayama Lemma,  $q^{(n)}/q^{(n+1)} = 0$ , so  $q^{(n)} = q^{(n+1)}$ . Any ideal in  $S_q$  is generated as an ideal by intersection with  $S$ , so we know that  $q^n S_q = q^{n+1} S_q$ . Taking the tensor product gives  $q^n S_q \otimes_{S_q} (S_q/qS_q) = 0$  and  $q^n S_q = 0$ , according to Nakayama Lemma. The last

expression is the condition for a local Noetherian ring to be Artinian. Hence, the dimension and codimension of  $S_q$  are both 0, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.32.** Let  $R$  be Noetherian ring with  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ . Then every minimal prime ideal containing  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has codimension at most  $n$ .

*Proof.* Do induction.  $\square$

**Remark 11.33.** For any commutative ring  $R$ , the dimension of  $R$  is the supremum of dimension of  $R_m$  for maximal ideals  $m$  of  $R$ , and this is equivalent to the supremum of codimension of  $m$  over all maximal ideals  $m$ .

Each  $\dim(R_m)$  is finite, but it could happen that  $\dim(R) = \infty$ .

**Example 11.34.** There are Noetherian rings of infinite dimension.

**Definition 11.35.** A commutative ring  $R$  is catenary if for any prime ideals  $p \subseteq q \subseteq R$ , there is a maximal chain  $p \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq \dots \subseteq P_r = q$ , and the number  $r$  is unique.

**Remark 11.36.** All algebras of finite type over a field are catenary.

**Remark 11.37.** There are non-catenary Noetherian local rings due to the example above.

**Corollary 11.38.** Let  $R$  be a domain of finite type over a field. Then for any  $p \subseteq R$ , we have  $\dim(R) = \text{codim}(p) + \dim(R/p)$ .

**Remark 11.39.** Use the fact that for a domain  $R$  of finite type over a field  $K$ , for any  $m$ ,  $\dim(R) = \dim(R_m)$ .

**Remark 11.40.** The corollary fails if  $R$  is not a domain.

**Theorem 11.41.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian domain. Then  $R$  is a UFD if and only if every codimension-1 prime ideal in  $R$  is principal.

If  $R$  is a UFD, the codimension-1 subvarieties are always defined by a single equation.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $R$  be a Noetherian UFD. Let  $p \subseteq R$  be a codimension-1 prime ideal. Then  $(0) \subsetneq p$  and there is no prime between them. Let  $f \in p$  be nonzero, then  $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$  with  $f_i$  being irreducible. So we know  $f_i \in p$  for some  $i$ . Suppose we have  $f_1 \in p$ , then  $(f_1)$  is prime by UFD, so  $0 \subsetneq (f_1) \subseteq p$ , i.e.  $p = (f_1)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose  $R$  is Noetherian, then every codimension-1 prime is principal. First, show that every nonzero non-unit in  $R$  is a product of irreducibles. Suppose this is not the case, then we can choose some  $f$  that cannot be written be such a product. Thus,  $f = gh$  where  $g$

and  $h$  are non-units. Then either  $g$  or  $h$  is not such a product. By repeating the process, we have a sequence  $(f) \subsetneq (g) \subsetneq \cdots$  of strictly increasing principal ideals. We get a contradiction because we see that every nonzero non-unit is a product of irreducibles. This only required  $R$  to be a Noetherian domain.

We know every irreducible element  $f$  generates a prime. By definition,  $f$  is not a unit so  $(f) \subsetneq R$ . Therefore, there is a minimal prime containing  $(f)$ . By Krull's principal ideal theorem,  $p$  has codimension at most 1, but  $(0) \subsetneq (f)$ , so it has codimension exactly 1. Then by assumption,  $p$  is principal, then  $p = (g)$ , so  $f = gh$ . Therefore,  $h$  is a unit, and so  $(f) = (g) = R$ .

Using this, we have a unique factorization. Suppose  $f_1 \cdots f_r = g_1 \cdots g_s$  are two irreducible factorizations. Suppose  $g_1 \cdots g_s \in (f_1)$ , then  $g_i \in (f_1)$ , and so  $g_i = f_1 u$  since  $f_1$  is prime. We cancel the term and proceed by induction.  $\square$

**Remark 11.42.** For any Noetherian normal domain  $R$ , we define an Abelian group  $\mathbf{Cl}(R)$  as the divisor class group of  $R$  generated by codimension-1 prime ideals of  $R$  such that  $\mathbf{Cl}(R) = 0$  if and only if all codimension-1 prime ideals are principal, if and only if  $R$  is a UFD.

$\mathbf{Cl}(R)$  measures failure to be a UFD. A lot of algebraic geometry is concerned with computing this group and closed related to the Picard group.

**Lemma 11.43.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal. Then  $\dim(R) \leq \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a finitely-generated module, then  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a finite-dimensional space and if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is a basis, then by Nakayama Lemma, we can lift them to  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ , and they always generate  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By corollary to Krull's theorem,  $\dim(R) = \text{codim}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 11.44.** A Noetherian local ring is regular if  $\dim(R) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ .

**Example 11.45.** A regular local ring  $R$  of dimension 0, we have  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$  by Nakayama Lemma, so  $R$  is a field.

Note that  $k[x]/(x^{10})$  is dimension 0 but not regular.

**Remark 11.46.** Every regular local ring is a domain.

Given the remark above, let  $R$  be regular local of dimension 1. Then  $R$  is Noetherian local domain of dimension 1. Now  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  has dimension 1 and these imply that  $R$  is a DVR.

**Example 11.47.**  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$  is regular local of dimension  $n$ .

**Lemma 11.48.** For any commutative ring  $A$  with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}^2A_{\mathfrak{m}})$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement  $R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong R_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}})^a$ . Then  $R/\mathfrak{m}^a$  is local. Therefore, its localization at  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the same thing: elements of  $R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  are units in  $R/\mathfrak{m}^a$  since it is local.

Now consider exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^a \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^a \rightarrow 0$  and localize to get  $\mathfrak{m}^a \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m}^a)_{\mathfrak{m}} = R/\mathfrak{m}^a \rightarrow 0$ , so  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}^a R_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong R/\mathfrak{m}^a$ .  $\square$

At this point, we know all closed subvarieties (prime ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ )  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ .

For example, we know  $0 \leq \dim(Y) \leq 2$ . If  $\dim(Y) = 2$ , then  $Y = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  corresponding to  $(0)$ . If  $\dim(Y) = 1$ , then the codimension of prime is 1, then since  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is a UFD, then  $p = (f)$  with  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  irreducible. If  $\dim(Y) = 0$ , then since  $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is maximal, by Nullstellensatz,  $P = (x - a, y - b)$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

**Lemma 11.49** (Prime Avoidance). Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $I_1, \dots, I_n, J$  be ideals in a commutative ring  $R$ . Suppose that all but at most one of the  $I_a$ 's are prime. If  $J = \bigcup_{a=1}^n I_a$ , then  $J$  is contained in  $I_a$  for some  $a$ .

*Proof.* Use induction on  $n$ . Then  $n = 1$  case is trivial. Suppose  $n \geq 2$ , and the statement holds for  $n - 1$ . We can assume  $I_n$  is prime. Also, we can assume that  $J$  is not contained in the union of any  $n - 1$  of the  $I_a$ 's or else by induction. So for each  $1 \leq a \leq n$  we can choose  $x_a \in J \setminus \bigcup_{b \neq a} I_b$ . Clearly,  $x_a \in I_a$ . Consider  $y = x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} + x_n$ . This is in  $J$  so it must be in some  $I_a$ . But if  $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$ , then  $x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$  is in  $I_a$  but  $x_n \notin I_a$ ,  $y \notin I_a$ . Thus,  $a = n$ . Therefore,  $y \in I_n$ , but since  $I_n$  is prime, one of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in I_n$ , contradiction. Hence,  $J \subseteq I_a$  for some  $a$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.50.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The dimension of  $R$  is the smallest number such that there are  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{rad}(f_1 \cdots f_r)$ .

**Example 11.51.**  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy)$ . It looks like  $xy = 0$  cuts out closed points in  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - y)$ , but  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy, x - y) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/(x)^2$  is not  $\mathbb{C}$ . In  $R$ ,  $(x - y)$  is not maximal, but  $\sqrt{(x - y)}$  is maximal.

*Proof.* We will make use of the corollary of Krull's principal ideal theorem. If  $\mathbf{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \mathfrak{m}$ , then the codimension of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is at most  $r$ , that is  $\dim(R) \leq r$ .

Conversely, if we let  $r = \dim(R)$ , we want to find  $r$  elements of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . It (by induction) suffices to show that for any Noetherian local ring  $R$  of dimension  $> 0$ , then there is an element  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $\dim(R/(f)) \leq \dim(R) - 1$ .

We now prove this statement. If an element  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  is not in any minimal prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $\dim(R/(f)) \leq \dim(R) - 1$ . Indeed, for any maximal chain of primes in  $R$ , we have  $P_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_r$ . Therefore,  $P_0$  is minimal, so any chain of prime ideals in  $R/(f)$  has length at most  $r - 1$ . Geometrically, we can always find functions in  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  that vanishes at a point but not at an entire irreducible component of  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  since  $\dim(R) > 0$ , the maximal ideal is not prime. By prime avoidance lemma, since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not contained in any minimal prime in  $R$ , so  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not contained in the union of minimal primes, and therefore we can find the  $f$  required.  $\square$

**Definition 11.52.** A system of parameters in a Noetherian local ring  $R$  means a sequence of elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $r = \dim(R)$  and  $\mathbf{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \mathfrak{m}$ .

Every local Noetherian ring has a system of parameters.

In fact, when the ring is regular, we can get  $\mathfrak{m} = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  without the radical.

**Example 11.53** (Example of Regular Local Rings). Any field is a regular local ring of dimension 0.

Any DVR such as  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for a prime  $p$ , or its completion, the  $p$ -adic integers given by  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p}((p)/(p^2)) = 1$ .

**Example 11.54.**  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a regular local ring of dimension  $n$ , as its completion  $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , the power series ring.

**Lemma 11.55.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring. For any  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\dim(R/(f)) \geq \dim(R) - 1$ . For any  $f \in R$  which is not a zero divisor,  $\dim(R/(f)) = \dim(R) - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $r = \dim(R)$ ,  $s = \dim(R/(f))$ , then we can choose a system of parameters  $g_1, \dots, g_s \in R/(f)$ , then  $R/(f)/(g_1, \dots, g_s)$  is a local ring of dimension 0. Because  $\mathfrak{m}$  is nilpotent,  $\mathbf{rad}(f, g_1, \dots, g_s) = \mathfrak{m}$ , so  $s + 1 \geq \dim(R)$ , so  $\dim(R/(f)) \geq \dim(R) - 1$ . Now let  $f$  be a non-zero divisor. A non-zero divisor vanishes at  $\mathfrak{m}$  but not any irreducible component: this shortens the chain of irreducible components. This holds if  $f$  is not contained in any minimal prime of  $R$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  be the minimal primes in  $R$ . Suppose  $f \in p_1$ , we have a contradiction. For each  $2 \leq j \leq s$ , there is an element of  $p_j$  not in  $p_1$  since  $p_1$  is prime, the product of these  $s - 1$  elements in  $p_2 \cap \cdots \cap p_s$ , but not in  $p_1$ . Therefore,  $fg_1 \in p_1 \cap \cdots \cap p_s = \mathbf{rad}(0) \subseteq R$ , so there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n g_1^n = 0$ . Then  $f$  is a zero-divisor since  $g_1 \neq 0$ , contradiction. We conclude that  $f$  is not in a minimal prime ideal, so we  $\dim(R/(f)) = \dim(R) - 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 11.56.** A regular local ring is a domain.

*Proof.* We use induction on  $r = \dim(R)$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $\dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim(R) = 0$ , by Nakayama Lemma,  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , so  $R$  is a field. Now let  $R$  be regular local of dimension  $r > 0$ . We know that  $\dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = r$  and in particular  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq 0$ , so  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$ . By prime avoidance lemma, if  $\mathfrak{m}$  were contained in the union of  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and the minimal primes of  $R$ , then it would be contained in one of these ideals. This is impossible since maximal ideal cannot be contained in minimal prime if  $\dim(R) > 0$ . Therefore, there is an element  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  not in  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and not in any minimal prime of  $R$ . By the proof of the previous result,  $\dim(R/(f)) = \dim(R) - 1$ . Let  $S = R/(f)$ . The maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_s$  has  $\dim_K(\mathfrak{m}_s/\mathfrak{m}_s^2) = r - 1$  because  $(\mathfrak{m}_s/\mathfrak{m}_s^2) = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)/(f)$  and  $f \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Hence  $S$  is regular and we can apply the inductive hypothesis.  $S$  is a domain, so  $(f)$  is prime in  $R$ . Therefore,  $(f)$  contains some minimal prime ideal  $p_1 \subseteq R$ , but  $f$  is not contained in any minimal prime since any element in  $p_1$  can be written as  $y = fz$ , hence  $z \in p_1$ , so  $p_1 = \mathfrak{m}p_1$  (as  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ ). By Nakayama Lemma,  $p_1 = 0$ , so  $R$  is a domain.  $\square$

**Definition 11.57.** A regular sequence in a commutative ring  $R$  is a sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  such that  $f_1$  is not a zero divisor in  $R$ ,  $f_2$  is not a zero divisor in  $R/(f_1)$ ,  $f_3$  is not a zero divisor in  $R/(f_1, f_2)$ , and so on.

**Theorem 11.58.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring. Then  $R$  is regular if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by a regular sequence.

**Remark 11.59.** By homological algebra, this leads to a Noetherian local ring  $R$  is regular if and only if  $R$  has finite global dimension (any finitely-generated module has a resolution of finite length).

**Remark 11.60** (Serre, 1956). For a regular local ring  $R$ ,  $p \subseteq R$  prime, then  $R_p$  is also regular.

**Remark 11.61** (Auslander-Buchsbaum, 1959). Every regular local ring is UFD.

## 12 COMPLETION AND FILTRATION

Let  $R$  be a domain and  $p \in \mathbf{Spec}(R)$ . Note  $R_p \subseteq \text{Frac}(R)$  and  $\text{Frac}(R_p) = \text{Frac}(R)$ . Now  $R_p$  remembers the whole fractional field  $R$ . One can show that if  $X, Y$  are two structures with the same fractional field, then they are very close to be isomorphic.

**Definition 12.1.** For  $M$  an  $R$ -module, and  $I$  is an ideal of the ring  $R$ . We say that a filtration  $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots$  is an  $I$ -filtration if we have that  $IM_n \supseteq IM_{n+1}$ , and it is stable if  $IM_n = M_{n+1}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Lemma 12.2.** A stable  $I$ -filtration on  $M$  defines the same topology on  $M$  as the  $I$ -adic one, in particular there is an integer  $n_0$  so that  $M_{n+n_0} \subseteq I^n M$  and  $I^{n+n_0} M \subseteq M_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Definition 12.3.** Given a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I$ , we get a topology by taking  $R \supseteq I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \cdots$ , this is the  $I$ -adic topology.  $R$  is a topological ring with respect to this topology, and  $\hat{R}_I(\hat{R})$  is the  $I$ -adic completion of  $R$ .

**Example 12.4.**  $\varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n = \mathbb{Z}/p$  as the  $p$ -adics.

**Remark 12.5.** Given a ring  $R$  and ideal  $I$ . We form a graded ring  $R^*$  by  $R^* = \sum_i I^i$ . Similarly, given an  $R$ -module  $M$  with an  $I$ -filtration, we get  $M^* = \sum_i M_i$ , since  $I^m M_m \supseteq M_{n+m} M^*$  is graded  $R^*$ -module.

**Lemma 12.6.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring.  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely-generated  $R$ -module with an  $I$ -filtration  $(M_n)$ . Then we have  $M^*$  as a finitely-generated  $R^*$ -module if and only if the filtration is stable.

**Lemma 12.7** (Artin-Rees). Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $I$  an ideal in  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated  $R$ -module with an  $I$ -stable filtration  $(M_n)$  and  $M'$  is a submodule. Then  $M' \cap M_n$  is an  $I$ -stable filtration, and the  $I$ -adic topology on  $M'$  coincides with the subspace topology induced by the  $I$ -adic topology on  $M$ .

**Definition 12.8.** A topological Abelian group is a topological space that is an Abelian group and where composition and inversion are continuous.

**Remark 12.9.** The topology of a topological Abelian group  $G$  is completely determined by the neighborhood of 0 (by translation).

**Lemma 12.10.** Let  $G$  be a topological Abelian group and let  $H$  be the intersection of all neighborhoods of 0. Then

1.  $H$  is a subgroup.
2.  $H$  is the closure of 0.
3.  $G/H$  is Hausdorff.
4.  $G$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $H = 0$ .

**Remark 12.11.** Let  $G$  be a local base at 0 consisting of nested subgroups, i.e.  $G = G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \cdots$ . A typical example is the  $p$ -adic topology on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . A metric on the topological space is  $d(x, y) = 2^{-v_p(x-y)}$ . Then a local base of 0 is  $\mathbb{Z} \supseteq p\mathbb{Z} \supseteq p^2\mathbb{Z} \supseteq \cdots$ , these subgroups  $G_n = p^n\mathbb{Z}$  are clopen. Note that  $\bigcup_{h \notin G_n} (h + G_n)$  is open and is the complement of  $G_n$ , so  $G_n$  is closed.

**Definition 12.12.** A Cauchy sequence is a sequence of elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that for any neighborhood  $U$  of 0, the sequence has the property that  $x_n - x_m \in U$  for large enough  $n, m$ .

Take the image of the sequence in  $G/G_n$  is eventually constant, say equal to  $y_n$ , then there exists a map  $G/G_{n+1} \rightarrow G/G_n$  that maps  $y_{n+1} \mapsto y_n$ . Taking the direct limit, we have  $\varprojlim G/G_i$ . In particular, we denote  $\hat{G} = \varprojlim_i G/G_i$ .

**Corollary 12.13.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. Given a finite short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{N} \rightarrow 0$  is also a short exact sequence, and is of  $\hat{R}$ -modules.

**Proposition 12.14.** For  $R$  Noetherian,  $\hat{R}$  is flat as an  $R$ -algebra.

**Proposition 12.15.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $I$  an ideal, and let  $\hat{R}$  be its  $I$ -adic completion, then

1.  $\hat{J} = \hat{R}J = \hat{R} \otimes_R J$ .
2.  $\hat{J}^n = \hat{J}^n$ .
3.  $\hat{I}$  is in the Jacobson radical of  $\hat{R}$ .

**Proposition 12.16.** For a ring  $R$  and a finite module  $M$ ,  $\varphi : \hat{R} \otimes_R M \rightarrow \hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{M}$  is surjective. In particular, if  $R$  is Noetherian, then the map is also injective.

We aim to show that if  $R$  is Noetherian, then the  $I$ -adic completion of  $R$  is also Noetherian.

**Definition 12.17.** Given a ring  $R$  with the  $I$ -adic filtration, we can form the associated grading ring of this filtration, defined as  $G(R) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} I_n/I_{n+1}$ .

Given a module with an  $I$ -filtration, we can form the associated graded module  $G(M)$ , and this is a graded module over  $G(R)$ .

**Proposition 12.18.** Let  $R$  be Noetherian and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then

1.  $G(R)$  is Noetherian.
2.  $G(R)$  and  $G(\hat{R})$  are isomorphic as rings.
3. If  $M$  is a finite  $R$ -module and  $\{M_n\}$  is a stable  $I$ -filtration, then  $G(M)$  is a finite  $G(R)$ -module.



**Lemma 12.19.** Suppose  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  to be a homomorphism of filtered modules. Then if  $G(\varphi) : G(M) \rightarrow G(N)$  is injective (respectively, surjective), then the completion map  $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{N}$  is injective (respectively, surjective).

**Proposition 12.20.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  as its ideal, and  $M$  be a  $R$ -module. Let  $(M_n)$  be an  $I$ -filtration. Suppose  $R$  is an  $I$ -adically complete and  $M$  is Hausdorff in the  $I$ -adic topology, and  $G(M)$  is a finite  $G(R)$ -module, then  $M$  is a finite  $R$ -module.

**Corollary 12.21.** Under the hypotheses of the previous proposition, and suppose  $G(M)$  is Noetherian as a  $G(R)$ -module, then  $M$  is also a Noetherian  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* We need to show that all submodules of  $M$  are finite. Let  $M'$  be a submodule and give it the induced filtration. Then the embedding  $(M'_n) \rightarrow (M_n)$  gives the embedding  $G(M') \rightarrow G(M)$ , so  $G(M')$  is finitely-generated  $G(R)$ -module and  $M'$  is complete (since  $M$  is complete), so  $M'$  is finitely-generated.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.22.** If  $R$  is a Noetherian ring, then  $\hat{R}$  is Noetherian.

*Proof.*  $G(\hat{R})$  is Noetherian, then apply the proposition above to the case where  $R = \hat{R}$  and  $M = \hat{R}$ .  $\square$